



FIGU - TIME SIGNS

News - Insights - Findings



Frequency of publication:

Internet: <http://www.figu.org>

6th vintage

Twice a month

E-Letter: info@figu.org

No. 137, March/1 2020

organ for free, politically independent views and opinions on world affairs

According to the 'Universal Declaration of Human Rights' proclaimed by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 10 December 1948, there is a universal 'freedom of expression and information' and this right is universal and absolute for every individual People of female or male sex of any age and race, of any social standing as well as in respect of righteous views, ideas and beliefs of any philosophy, religion, ideology and worldview:

Art. 19 Human rights

Everyone has the right to freedom of expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers.



not be linked in any way to the missionary goods and habitus of the FIGU, nor to its interests, nor in any way or perspective.

For all contributions and articles published in the FIGU-Zeitzeichen and other FIGU periodicals, the
FIGU about the necessary written permissions of the authors or the media concerned!

In response to a frequently expressed wish from the readers of the time signal, the time signals are to be used for orientation of the Recipients will be provided with excerpts of various important issues from the latest contact meeting reports, as well as old and new facts about the worldwide controversies maliciously conducted with lies, fraud, slander and assassination attempts against BEAM.

To be happy and content...

by Christian Frehner, Switzerland

Since 1975, the year 'Billy' Eduard Albert Meier made public his mission as a proclaimer of the so-called spiritual teachings and at the same time caused the worldwide UFO controversy, he has written and published about 70 books, along with countless articles and hundreds of lessons for the spiritual teachings study course. Unnoticed by the majority of the earthly humanity, a complete work has been published, which surpasses all philosophical, ideological and religious books of all languages in terms of depth, agreement with reality, logic and positive effect etc. by worlds. This assessment may seem exaggerated, if not even arrogant, but it should be shared by all those people who have already worked their way into this wealth of knowledge and who have been able to perceive and - hopefully - feel the vibrations of truth and wisdom in their innermost being. From the 'Chalice of Truth' to the 'OM', the 'Arahat Athersata' and 'The Psyche' up to the 16 'Pleiadian-Plejarian Contact Reports' blocks that have been published so far, the people of the earth now have such an extensive body of knowledge at their disposal, which, in order to analyse it thoroughly and in all facets and strands, to examine it and, above all, to transform it into wisdom as secured knowledge, requires tremendous time and will always remain current for all future generations.

With reference to the above, I would like to point out a particularly brightly shining gemstone in the long chain of sparkling alphabet jewels that was recently presented to the public.

This is the first time this has happened. In December 2019 Billy's latest work was published, a 245-page book entitled **'When man wants to become happy and content ...'**. At the time, I had already noticed it when reading '... Kampf den Depressionen', which I tried to convey to the readers in the epilogue of the book, this experience has been intensified in the current book. While reading this latest work of Billy's, I perceived a tremendous power and energy, a kind of positive pull, a stream of energy that builds up and intensifies from page to page. Everything is explained so clearly, understandably and logically that, while reading it, the realization emerges over and over again and practically 'automatically': 'That's how it is; this is reality, the truth! A further effect that inevitably arises - at least it was the case with me - are thoughts about, and a deep compassion for, those countless people who, in many cases since their early youth, have been chewing on unprocessed assaults, problems and complexes etc., and are driven along on the storms and imponderables of life by their manifold needs and lack of orientation. This is also true for countless people who break down at drastic events in their later life, because they have never learned - mostly for lack of instruction - to keep their world of thoughts in order and to apply the laws of effective psychic care. The desire for happiness and contentment is certainly there, but because the solution is sought in the wrong place or the wrong steps are taken, everything remains unsatisfactory, unstable and not permanent, which often leads to a shortened life on earth.

What next? - For people who are neither happy nor satisfied, but who want to change this fundamentally, the 'Wassermannzeit-Verlag' of the FIGU now offers a highly effective 'medicine', which does not cause any negative side effects, whose 'package insert' this report corresponds to, and which does not require the advice of a doctor or a pharmacist to take or use, but only the clear decision of the unhappy and dissatisfied person concerned to take their own life and its quality into their own hands and to determine it independently.

**power of life
Love is the power
of life; if they
is maintained, then
source of dignity, honor
and humility,
as well as harmony,
Peace and freedom
out of it.
SSSC, June 13, 2011,
4:30 pm, Billy**

Paul Kirchhof in Mission Money: What the European Central Bank (ECB) does is expropriation

Epoch Times, September 24, 2019 Last updated: September 24, 2019 8:46

In a recent interview with Mission Money, Paul Kirchhof explains why our financial system needs a break with a new departure and what approaches he would take to achieve this. Our current financial system shows that our legal system does not work in this area. But that is a prerequisite for peace and justice.

What the European Central Bank (ECB) does is expropriation, said Paul Kirchhof in a short interview with Mission Money. His statement that "the core idea of private property has been abolished" was pointed. But there is a big problem behind it. Redistribution policy had become the business of the ECB. Actually, it should only be concerned with monetary stability. This includes preventing inflation and making money profitable. Under no circumstances should the ECB be allowed to compensate for its mistake, loose money, with another mistake, no interest - in other words, to compensate injustice with injustice.

ECB organises inflation

But in fact, the ECB is virtually responsible for rising stock and real estate prices and is organizing ...which is inflationary. These days, a normal-earning family can no longer afford to buy land in the big city. The result would be real dislocations.

But the long-standing confidence of savers that capital generates interest will simply be blown away. If there were a public debate on this, MEPs would listen to their constituents and say: "No, all capital should be profitable."



Expropriation must be compensated

What the ECB is doing is clearly an expropriation - says Kirchhof. And that would be an encroachment on the constitutionally guaranteed freedom of ownership. This freedom means: one should be able to invest one's money in such a way that it can theoretically bring a return. Of course it is not guaranteed that one gets a certain amount. That was determined by the market. But at the very least, there must be a chance to earn a return. But that's no longer possible here, because interest has been abolished.

It's like a department store. When the doors are open, shoppers can come in and buy things. It's not safe for them to buy anything. But they could. But if you lock its doors, that would be expropriation and a violation of the fundamental right of freedom of property.

And expropriation must be compensated according to our law. And that is the interest, but it no longer exists, emphasizes the constitutional law expert.

The basic problem is the horrendous over-indebtedness of many countries

If all states had complied with the legally set debt limit, we would not have a problem today. The debt limit is regulated in the *Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union*, which is one of the founding treaties of the European Union.

The regulation is simple and understandable. Namely: "Debt limit for each state in the total sum of 60 percent of the gross domestic product." And that is the law. Kirchhof stresses: "We know what 60 is, we know what percent is, we know what gross domestic product is." Today, however, there are still countries with debts of well over 100 percent. For example Greece, Italy, Spain and France.

Kirchhof can only really explain it by the fact that the debts have gradually increased. Most states are now far from the 60 percent and are not heading towards it. The policy leads to a dramatic cycle. Those who get money without effort, he said, think they get too little. After receiving the money on conditions, he denies that he has to fulfil the conditions and even insults the donor. He then fights against the law in order not to have to fulfil the conditions. Greece, for example.

Democracy at its core is restricted

As a result of growing debt, governments would become increasingly dependent on the financial market. And that would interfere with democracy. Heavily indebted states must regularly extend old debts and find new lenders. Normally, the interest rate is the issue in credit talks. But that no longer exists. In some cases, one would even have to pay more now. He suspects that in future credit discussions will be about politics. Instead of the interest rate, the question could be: "What policies do you pursue in labor law, environmental law, social law?"

Kirchhof sees democracy as being restricted by this. Thus the highly indebted state shifts its political responsibility to the financial market. A democratic voter relies on the Bundestag and the Bundestag is oriented towards the voter. But now the attention of the state is directed to the financial market. Then, according to Kirchhof, the reference point of politics is a completely different one, namely an "anony-

me size of a market that we can define, but which we cannot hold politically accountable".

The ECB violates the intergenerational contract

The current young generation is also being burdened with too much. In the future, they would already be burdened by increasing pension payments for the older generation. These would not be financed from a capital stock, but by contributors. Too few young contributors have to pay for too many pensioners. "That is already an imposition", says Kirchhof.

But on top of that, there would be immense national debts. One could assume that the debts would be paid off sometime.

Current financial policy goes against law and peace

Kirchhof warns that a redistribution policy of this kind cannot work in the long term. The collapse of a bank or a state could be postponed for a short time. But only by new indebtedness. That would make everything even worse. If this continues, "certain main candidates will simply have to fail. The European Union cannot absorb them," says Kirchhof.

Kirchhof considers it an "unbelievable problem" that legal security as a guarantee for peace has been lost. The economic rules of the market and of money would be affected by the law and they must work. The disregard of the debt limit shows that the law is simply being flouted, Kirchhof continued.

Caesura of new beginnings

Actually, people do notice that this policy of high indebtedness does not lead to the goal, says Kirchhof. If it succeeds in stopping the "debt avalanche", a different monetary policy is quite conceivable. However, the debt economy must come to an end. What is needed now is a "break with a new beginning". Germany should also advocate an end to the system.

We must now wean ourselves from the drug to which everyone has become accustomed (...). This is a censorship. (...) No new debts, says Kirchhof.

And states should not spend more than they take. This should be like a balance sheet of the local company. He emphasizes that it is a basic principle of economic thinking to calculate whether one can afford a loan. When a private individual buys a new car, he also calculates exactly whether he can afford it and what he has to do without.

Federal Constitutional Court will comment on ECB bond purchases later this year

A case is currently pending before the [Federal Constitutional Court](#). The case concerns fundamental statements on bond purchases by the ECB. Kirchhof expects a ruling before the end of this year. (bm)

Source: <https://www.epochtimes.de/wirtschaft/finanz/paul-kirchhof-in-mission-money-was-die-europaeische-zentralbank-ezb-macht-das-ist-enteignung-a3011601.html>

Dance on the volcano



Air pollution (example picture)

Time is running out: Over the next ten years, the ever-increasing CO₂ emissions must be halved, otherwise climate change can no longer be kept in check. Meanwhile, capitulation

international climate policy before the power of the energy and agricultural industries, and Germany continues to indulge in the burning of lignite.

By STEFAN KREUTZBERGER | Published on 12.09.2019 at 11:00 in: Environment

The Earth is probably a disk...

It is enough to see how obviously madmen, unscrupulous right-wing populist leaders and mafia-style corporate bosses deny climate change for profit and manoeuvre our planet as we know it to the edge of the abyss. The fact that the grand coalition does not want to shut down our biggest polluters, the lignite-fired power stations, until 2038 and continues to court the fraudulent car companies, is no longer surprising. (Excuse me, this had to come out now, before one stoically turns to the frightening facts)

In our age, the so-called Anthropocene - the epoch in which mankind has become one of the most important factors influencing the biological and climatic processes on Earth - three of nine planetary boundaries have already been irreversibly crossed: that of climate change, that of the loss of biodiversity and that of nitrogen input into the biosphere. Soon the limits will also be reached for ocean acidification, phosphorus input and land use change. In the areas of global freshwater use and the depletion of the stratospheric ozone layer, it will not be too long before the limits are reached either. By burning fossil fuels, man is the main cause of global warming. This has increased rapidly, especially in the last 35 years, and the situation is becoming more and more acute. The years 2015 to 2018 would be by far the warmest years since temperature recording began in the 19th century. Extreme weather events such as heavy precipitation, violent storms, floods, droughts and unusually long heat waves with peak temperatures of up to 50 degrees Celsius, as recently experienced in Australia, are becoming more and more common.

Mountain glaciers are retreating, the sea ice of the Arctic and the north polar snow cover are melting at a speed never thought possible before. Sea levels are rising steadily by three millimetres a year, and the water in the oceans is warming and acidifying as the seas partly reabsorb the carbon dioxide emitted. The concentration of the greenhouse gases carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O, laughing gas) in our atmosphere is higher than it has been for 800,000 years. Since these gases have different effects on the greenhouse effect, but are also emitted in very different quantities, we speak of gigatonnes of CO₂ equivalents (Gt CO₂-eq). In 2010, for example, 49,000,000,000 (49 billion) tonnes of CO₂-eq were released worldwide, distributed as follows among the economic sectors: 32 percent industry, 24.9 percent agriculture and forestry, 18.4 percent buildings, 14.3 percent transport and 11 percent other forms of energy use.

In the past 500 million years, there have already been four warm phases with very strongly increased CO₂ concentrations in the atmosphere - this is known from drill core analyses in polar ice. But never before has the greenhouse effect progressed as rapidly as it has now. The volcanic eruptions of the Permian, i.e. about 300 to 250 million years ago, led to gigantic CO₂ inputs and to a great extinction of species, but the atmospheric concentration increase was about one tenth of the present rate. Two hundred years ago, the proportion of CO₂ was 275 per million (ppm - parts per million), today it is already 405 ppm. Every year, a further 2 ppm are added, whereby a concentration of 350 ppm is considered to be a barely tolerable maximum. A necessary limitation of further warming to a maximum of 2 degrees in the next eighty years can only be achieved with a fifty percent probability if a third of the remaining oil, half of the natural gas and more than 80 percent of the coal remain in the ground by 2050. From then on, these emissions must come to an end completely, and the energy required must be generated solely from sun, wind and water. However, if fossil resources continue to be burned in the current trend, the earth's climate will rise by 4 degrees by the year 2100. Nobody can seriously want that, because even the World Bank estimates that 80 to 90 percent of the world's population, as well as the fauna and flora we know, will not survive.

Climate sinners Germany

According to current data from the Federal Environment Agency (UBA), German greenhouse gas emissions fell by 28 percent between 1990 and 2017. This is positive, but is far from sufficient. Germany's greenhouse gas emissions must be reduced by at least 40 percent by 2020 and by at least 55 percent by 2030 compared to 1990 emissions, and by 70 percent by 2040. By 2050, Germany should then have achieved extensive greenhouse gas neutrality. UBA expressly emphasises that without massive and rapid additional efforts the targets set will definitely not be achieved. While the energy sector has been able to significantly reduce emissions by phasing out hard coal and increasing the use of renewable energy sources, the energy sector is still in the process of reducing emissions.

the transport sector is heading in completely the wrong direction: it is now even two percentage points higher than 1990 emissions. but in 2017, emissions in the German metal and cement industry also rose by 2.5 percent year-on-year.

But it could be worse: the World Wide Fund For Nature (WWF) and the Natural Gas Industry Initiative have converted the total emissions still permitted under the Paris climate protection targets into national annual budgets and came to a completely different conclusion than the Federal Environment Agency: according to this, by the end of March 2018 Germany had already used up its CO₂ budget for the entire year and thus emitted four times as many climate-damaging gases as would have been mathematically justifiable. Since the Paris Agreement of 2015 only takes into account global reduction quantities over a longer period of time, there are no binding guidelines as to how much must be saved per state and year - this is solely at the discretion of the individual countries.

In the "Climate Protection Plan 2050 of the German Federal Government" adopted by the Federal Cabinet in mid-November 2016, national and sectoral reduction targets were set in accordance with the Paris targets, which are to lead to a reduction of 90 to 95 percent by 2050 compared with 1990. But even the first target for 2020 will in all probability not be reached and will be exceeded by 8 percent.

At the beginning of February 2019, Federal Environment Minister Svenja Schulze then presented the draft of the first German climate protection law, which had previously been agreed in the coalition agreement and which is to be passed this year. This would be an important milestone and probably also the last chance to tackle the implementation of the promised national climate targets. The draft provides for separate concrete emissions budgets for the transport, agriculture, industry and building sectors. The respective ministries responsible are then themselves responsible for ensuring that the targets set are met on time. The Environment Minister is thus encroaching heavily on the sovereignty of the other ministries; the howl of anger is therefore also great. The climate owners in the transport and building industries must finally start to make serious CO₂ savings. The daily "taz" commented aptly on this courageous advance by the Minister, who has so far been less than successful: "She has put everything on red.

(For Schulze, this is the only way, but it is highly risky: if the climate law comes in its present form, she will be the most successful environment minister in a long time. If it is prevented or curtailed, she must resign."

The struggle for concrete climate-friendly steps is apparently extremely difficult in Germany, and there is a regular risk of being ripped off in cold blood by the energy lobby. A current example is the negotiations on the future of the coal industry and the preservation of the last remnants of the symbolic Hambach Forest: a total of 45 gigawatts of electricity is currently being generated by coal-fired power plants in Germany, which corresponds to around a third of the nationwide feed-in. Since the middle of last year, the Commission "Growth, Structural Change and Employment" (Coal Commission), which was set up by the Federal Government only in an advisory capacity, has been working on a plan for a future coal phase-out. On 26 January 2019 the 28-member committee, which included representatives from industry, trade unions, environmental associations such as Greenpeace and BUND and the scientific community, published its final report with only one vote against. In it, it proposes to end electricity generation from coal by 2038. By 2022, plants with a capacity of over 12 gigawatts should be shut down, which corresponds to around 24 larger coal-fired units. This is 3 gigawatts more lignite than was previously planned. In 2030, a maximum of 9 gigawatts of lignite and 8 gigawatts of hard coal should still be on the grid. The Commission's 336-page report, however, does not only deal with CO₂ emissions, but above all with compensation payments and compensation projects. Jörg Sommer from the German Environmental Foundation describes the spirit behind this in a commentary: He counted a total of 149 times the word "growth", 128 of these times in direct connection with "prosperity" and/or "innovation" - and always in a positive, never critical way. On the other hand, not a single time did he mention the words "sufficiency", "Anthropocene" or "renunciation". Sommer therefore speaks of a clear victory for the lobbyists and an unmistakable failure of the Commission as a whole, by which he also means the environmental associations and the otherwise critical scientists. He gets to the heart of the problem when he writes: "Those who see growth as a prerequisite for prosperity see environmental and climate protection as a threat to prosperity. This thinking is not only outdated, but the root of all evil." He goes on to say: "Those who cling to the growth mantra cannot solve global problems." One should therefore "first of all think about how to effectively prevent further growth". So we are faced with the alternatives: growth or prosperity?

Climate researchers warn

The trend of further increasing emissions is making itself felt worldwide: Climate experts expect global emissions to rise by 2.7 percent in 2018. The new director of the Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Johan Rockström, is therefore very concerned and doubts

the effectiveness of the 24 previous international climate conferences. He warns, "We all have to stop fidgeting now; we have to speed up our steps." This would also be urgently necessary, as the window of opportunity for a calculable and still controllable climate change is closing more and more in the next ten years. "No matter how we turn the data back and forth, we only have a decade to achieve the CO₂ turnaround and still protect people from the biggest risks of climate change," warns Rockström. Most climate researchers do not believe that temperature increases and sea level rise will occur gradually. There will not be a smooth and gradual transition to a pleasantly warmer world. On the contrary, even minor changes in just one element of the climate and the crossing of thresholds can have abrupt, completely unforeseeable and irreversible consequences. Where exactly these "tipping points" lie is something that no one can say today, only suspect.

What is clear, however, is that a momentum of its own will then be set in motion that cannot be stopped, even if we were to reduce our emissions to zero immediately. The American Association for the Advancement of Science already summarized this realization in 2014 in the following picture: "That would be like a brake engaging and blocking the steering, so that we no longer have the problem and its consequences under control. That's why the struggle for a few percentage points is so crucial: Whether the atmosphere warms by 1.5 degrees, by 2 degrees, or even by 3, 4 or even more degrees is a world of difference. In its special report of October 2018, the IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, better known in Germany as the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) writes that every tenth of a degree counts and a limit of 2 degrees is much more dangerous than was assumed when the Paris Climate Convention was signed. If the atmosphere warmed "only" by 1.5 degrees instead of 2 degrees, the IPCC predicts that only half as many people would suffer from water shortages, only half as many vertebrates and plants would lose most of their habitat and far fewer people would die from heat, smog and infectious diseases. It would then be possible to prevent the ice cover of the polar caps from falling into an unstoppable melting process, and the coral ridges could be saved from dying off permanently. There would also be 2 million fewer climate refugees in the world. The IPCC therefore called for "rapid, far-reaching and unprecedented changes in all areas of society". At the climate summit in Katowice two months later, this appeal led to heated discussions. In the final document, the USA, Russia, Saudi Arabia and Kuwait had rejected the idea that the summit "welcomes" the IPCC report. Instead, the communiqué merely "took note" of it.

Climate researcher Mojib Latif of the GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research in Kiel commented with concern on the urgent warning of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: "The report states in translation that there has been no climate protection at all so far. This can also be seen from the report: Since global politics began to address the issue of climate - in the early 1990s - global CO₂ emissions have literally exploded. They have risen by over 60 percent." When asked whether we can still meet the 1.5 degree target, Latif answers soberly. "At the moment, even with an optimistic assessment of current policies, we're heading for a world above three degrees."

Who are the main culprits?

One paradigm that is often used in climate policy is that the CO₂ footprint of Asian industrial nations and other emerging markets has increased so much in the last decade that the old industrial nations are no longer able to make a decisive contribution to climate change in percentage terms. Looking at just a snapshot, this seems quite conclusive: In 2012, the USA was responsible for only 13.9 percent of global annual greenhouse gas emissions, while the 28 EU states were responsible for 9.7 percent. China had the highest share with 25.3 percent, followed by India, Russia, Indonesia, Japan and Brazil. Meanwhile, the least developed countries (LDCs) accounted for just under 3.6 percent of emissions. However, such an approach is deceptive and deliberately distracts attention from the real causes and polluters. First of all, carbon dioxide is an extremely long-lasting climate gas - over hundreds of years. A serious assessment of the effect on the present and future climate crisis can therefore only be made if all man-made greenhouse gases since industrialisation are cumulated and added together. The historical emissions from the years 1850 to 2012 were thus approximately 27 percent attributable to the USA and 11 percent to China. Similar results are obtained when per capita emissions are used instead of abstract comparisons of countries. They illustrate the different effects of different lifestyles: Here the USA (2012) is still far ahead with 16.2 tonnes per capita, consumption is more than twice as high as in the EU and in populous China, which has been catching up rapidly since 2002 at the latest. But a look at average individual emissions masks the enormous differences between rich and poor. There are not only clear imbalances between industrial nations, emerging markets and LDCs, but also between the individual CO₂ footprints of the people in the countries themselves.

An Oxfam study from 2015 states that ultimately around 64 percent of global greenhouse gas emissions are attributable to personal consumption. The remaining 36 percent are consumption processes by governments in the construction, infrastructure, international transport and military sectors. The majority of all purchased products are manufactured and circulated using fossil fuels and will sooner or later be disposed of. As a result, almost every use of labor is associated in some way with the production of greenhouse gases. Based on this, the authors of the excellent background paper "Global Climate Crisis" by Germanwatch distinguish between satisfying human needs for a good life and a distinctive consumer culture. They argue that it is too differentiated and that there are differences between "luxury emissions" and "survival emissions", for example from Asian rice farmers. They conclude that the richest 10 percent are responsible for almost half of all consumption-related greenhouse gas emissions, another 40 percent are caused by a growing global middle class, and the poorest 50 percent of the world's population contribute only about 10 percent. The latter live mainly in the regions of Africa and Asia that are particularly affected by climate change. The bottom line is that the super-rich and the half of the world's population that is on the winning side of globalization are burning up the fossil resources of our planet at the expense of the poor half and all of our future. In order to save the honour of a predominant part of the global middle class, however, a distinction should be made here, too, because there are considerable differences in wealth: The United Nations subsumes under "middle class" all people who have a daily income or daily expenditure between ten and one hundred US dollars.

Corporations are the biggest climate killers

When there is talk of climate sinners, people like to individualize and point to SUV drivers, dry-slingers and luxury cruisers. But this superfluous lifestyle is nothing compared to the real air polluters. The business model of many large corporations is based on climate-damaging practices and production processes, but they do not want to pay for the follow-up costs. They impose the burden of damage on the general public and the ecological environment. The waste products are virtually dumped into the atmosphere. A study by Richard Heede from 2014 lists who these new dinosaurs of the carbon age, which is tumbling towards its end, are: fifty private companies, 31 state-owned corporations and nine centralist states, which themselves are or have been producers. The head of the Climate Accountability Institute in Colorado examined the "carbon majors" that were responsible for most CO₂ and methane emissions worldwide between 1854 and 2010. With the exception of seven companies that produce cement, all are oil, gas and coal companies. Together they produced about two thirds of the anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions in the period mentioned. In recent years, their greenhouse gas production has remained unchanged - although it should have decreased by 3 per cent annually to remain in line with the goals of the Paris Climate Agreement. The six largest emitters of this club of the ninety with 16.72 percent alone are listed in order of importance: Chevron (USA), Exxon-Mobil (USA), Saudi Aramco (Saudi Arabia), BP (UK), Gazprom (Russia) and Royal Dutch/Shell (Netherlands). By comparison, the German RWE Group and its predecessor companies have had a 0.47 percent impact on the climate - not bad either, but still not enough for the top 20.

The agricultural industry should also not be underestimated, as it is far too seldom the focus of climate considerations, although the United Nations assumes that livestock farming is responsible for almost 15 percent of the problem. The five largest meat and dairy companies together produce higher emissions of climate-damaging greenhouse gases than the largest oil multinationals. It would appear that they have also spent years fine-tuning their ecological balance sheets and making false statements. A new study by the environmental organisation Grain and the Institute for Agriculture and Trade Policy, which is critical of agriculture, confirms this. According to the taz, the experts analysed the direct emissions from dairies and slaughterhouses of the 35 largest agricultural companies in the world and also estimated the additional emissions caused by the rearing of animals, changes in land use, methane emissions and liquid manure production. These mostly concealed climate-related items in the supply chain of our steaks and escalopes account for up to 90 percent of our carbon footprint. Global meat consumption is therefore a major factor in the climate catastrophe and must be reduced by at least half, not least for health reasons. Statistically, 37 kilos of meat per capita are consumed worldwide today, in 2030 it should be 48 kilos. However, consumption would have to fall immediately to 16 kilos if the climate targets set in Paris are still to be achieved.

Systematic deception and procrastination

American climate activist Bill McKibben uses the example of the world's largest oil company Exxon to describe the influence energy giants have on public opinion. 10 McKibben accuses Exxon of systematic deception for profit reasons and of blocking and delaying necessary changes to the energy industry.

of the developments. The strategy of clouding the public view of climate research has proven to be extremely effective in the USA: As late as 2017, almost 90 percent of Americans were unaware that there had long been a scientific consensus on global warming. Press research in 2015 revealed that Exxon had known for forty years that its products contributed to climate change, and the company had conducted years of research on the subject. McKibben believes that "if Exxon and other oil companies had shared their knowledge with the public, the history of the earth would be very different today: Climate change might not be solved as a problem, but the crisis would most likely have died down.

In October 1997, two months before the start of the Kyoto Summit, the World Petroleum Congress met in Peking. Exxon CEO Lee Raymond, who himself had previously headed the research department, claimed in his speech that the earth was actually cooling down, against his better judgment: The idea that reducing fossil fuel emissions could have a positive effect on the climate was contrary to common sense. After the industrialised countries had agreed on a first climate protection agreement in Kyoto in December 1997, the oil lobby in the USA pressed for years for a political boycott of any climate responsibility. Nine days after the inauguration of George W. Bush, Raymond visited his old friend Dick Cheney, who had just become US Vice President, at the end of January 2001. Shortly before, he had been chairman of the board of the oil service provider Haliburton. After September 11, Cheney pushed the war of aggression against Iraq and secured his former employer billions of dollars in business with the oil wells there. After the meeting with Raymond, he dissuaded Bush from his campaign promise to introduce carbon dioxide as an air pollutant. Raymond's successor at Exxon was Rex Tillerson, who briefly served as Secretary of State under Trump. At his last general meeting as CEO in 2016, he cynically said, "The world will have to continue to use fossil fuels whether it likes it or not." In mid-2017, Donald Trump announced his withdrawal from the Paris Climate Convention, and in February 2018, US Secretary of Energy Rick Perry finally announced that the US would not reduce its carbon emissions by 2050. If this really happens, the US alone will use up the entire remaining global carbon budget to reach the 1.5 degree target. According to McKibben, it is clear "that the corporate campaign has deprived us of the efforts of an entire generation that could perhaps have made the difference in the fight against climate change".

The new overarching generation conflict

Since the end of last year, there has been a surprising worldwide youth protest with regular school strikes and demonstrations against the climate catastrophe. The "Fridays for Future" movement was founded by 16-year-old schoolgirl Greta Thunberg from Sweden, and hundreds of thousands of schoolchildren and students are taking part, including in Germany. Even a motif car in Düsseldorf's Karneval was dedicated to her: between thumb and index finger, Greta holds the parents' generation by the ear, which does not look very happy in this humiliating situation. Are we dealing with a new youth and student revolt, possibly a renaissance of the '68 movement? Claus Leggewie, a political scientist from the University of Giessen, sees differences despite all the sympathy: "Back then, the demands were to change the entire world, so to speak. Today the demands are to save the world as it is. Back then, people had much stronger positive expectations of the future - in the sense that they believed that they were making the world fairer, that they were making it more equal. Well, that hasn't happened now, and usually the struggle to maintain a better state is also the first step towards possible change. Many banners of the worldwide day of action on 15 March accordingly showed slogans like this: "The main enemy is in our own country: VW, RWE, AFD, CDU & SPD" and "System Change, not Climate Change". More than 300,000 young people in over 230 cities took part in the activities in Germany alone, according to estimates by the organizers. Leggewie therefore also sees an overarching generation conflict behind the climate protest: "It is less the issue of climate protection than the fact that so little has happened. It's a resistance against the passivity of politics and also against its short-sightedness, its presentism and its fixation on the present. Greta Thunberg and many with her have understood that an older generation lives here at the expense of young people, does not act and simply keeps putting off things that should definitely be done.

Naomi Klein, the well-known American critic of capitalism, also sees that today it's not a matter of overcoming revolts and suggests an alternative strategy: "Think on a large scale, set very low and drive in the ideological stakes far away from the oppressive market fundamentalism that has turned out to be the greatest enemy for the well-being of the earth. If we can shift the cultural context just a little bit, there is a tiny margin for reasonable reforms that would at least put the carbon dioxide in the air in the right direction. But the countdown to meeting the 1.5 degree target has most likely already passed. The protesting youths will have to face the fact that in their later working lives.

for better or worse, to a world beyond the 2-degree rise. What this world will look like then cannot be said exactly at this point in time, but certainly there will be no more colourful butterflies, parrot-like larks, flower meadows and idyllic beaches. Nevertheless: Giving up is not an option, says climate activist McKibben: "This gigantic battle is not yet decided: If we miss the 2-degree target, we will be fighting a 3-degree rise, and then the 4-degree rise. It's a long way down in the elevator to hell."

Source: <https://www.hintergrund.de/globales/umwelt/tanz-auf-dem-vulkan-2/>

The evildoers are in Bern

Hans Geiger, Professor Emeritus of Banking, Weiningen ZH
Published on September 27, 2019



I am against the framework agreement. That is why I have something against Brussels. Because the Brussels bureaucrats have imposed an absolutely unacceptable triple mix on our poor diplomats in tough negotiations on the "Framework Treaty":

Firstly, the obligation to adopt EU law dynamically, secondly an extended guillotine clause, and thirdly and above all the mandatory subordination to the European Court of Justice (ECJ). If the court of the other side can finally decide what will apply in the future, I will no longer have to make a contract. That is what you call subjugation.

Deception

Recently I have more against Bern than against Brussels. Because the culprits are sitting there. In the Tages-Anzeiger of 10 September Rudolf Strahm, former SP National Councillor, writes on the subject of "Why everyone is silent on Europe":

"In 2013, State Secretary Yves Rossier proposed to establish the European Court of Justice (ECJ) as an arbitration body. The EU had previously also brought the Efta Court into play as a variant. But Rossier's hidden agenda was fixed on EU accession. During the hot phase, there were never any cross-concessions - i.e. counterclaims by Switzerland - being negotiated.

Rossier did not stage this deception without the consent of his Europhilic boss Didier Burkhalter. And he certainly obtained the consent of at least three colleagues in the Federal Council - Doris Leuthard, Eveline Widmer-Schlumpf, Simonetta Sommaruga, Johann Schneider-Ammann, Alain Berset, Ueli Maurer.

I want to exclude the two SP members of the Federal Council from the criticism. The SP is transparent. It is in favour of joining the EU. According to the party programme for 2010/12 "the bilateral path leads to a dead end". The party already wrote in 2010: "The SP stands for the rapid initiation of accession negotiations with the EU. I find this policy fundamentally wrong, but the party is transparent and therefore politically correct.

In contrast, the Federal Council and its army of civil servants in Bern were neither transparent nor politically correct. They acted as if they wanted to "save the bilateralists", while at the same time preparing the way for the EU. And they probably still do.

The culprit is also in Zurich

A powerful player to push through the framework agreement sits in Zurich on Hegibachstrasse 47. Economiesuisse, the self-proclaimed umbrella organisation of the Swiss economy, represents "around 100,000 companies from all sectors and regions of Switzerland, employing around two million people

offer". He does not represent the interests of Switzerland, at most the interests of large multinational corporations.

Economiesuisse supports the negotiated framework agreement. It is a good agreement, it "increases legal certainty for local companies", "it improves Switzerland's position in the event of disputes or legal disputes with the EU". The first statement is correct: Thanks to legal certainty, EU law always applies. The second statement is a joke. Another joke is the statement that "a public survey has shown that a majority of Swiss voters are in favour of the negotiated framework agreement". Even at Hegibachstrasse 47 nobody believes this.

Puppet masters in the federal elections

Economiesuisse is offering candidates for the upcoming elections "Orientation and location guidelines" with the help of a survey (www.elections.ch). Economiesuisse wants to help "increase the attractiveness of Switzerland as a business location and thus increase prosperity in Switzerland", and "wants to bring Switzerland back to the top of the world".

The majority of the 34 questions in the economiesuisse questionnaire are methodologically correct, with the exception of those concerning the EU. The first question on the subject of "foreign economic policy" is: "Do you favour an institutional agreement with the EU in order to maintain and develop bilateral relations? This is a leading question and therefore an imposition. Anyone who asks this question is not interested in the answer, but rather intends to impose his opinion on another person. Those candidates who answer the question with "YES" can at least count on the support of economiesuisse during the election campaign, perhaps also financially.

The correct question would have been: "Do you favour an institutional agreement with the EU? The fact that this would "maintain and develop bilateral relations" is disputed by opponents of the institutional agreement. And what should an SP member answer the question, which is in favour of an institutional agreement, but not for the preservation of the bilateral agreements, but as a first step towards EU accession? "Yes" would be correct for the first part of the question, "No" for the postscript.

Passionate support for progressive minds

economiesuisse is not alone in the fight for the framework agreement. Its position is supported by Operation Libero. The Liberos and Liberos, most of whom are young, are passionately fighting for a Switzerland that wants to be "a country of opportunity and not an open-air museum". It is now "the end of muse-ums-romanticism". The framework agreement is "good and right". Up to now, disputes with the EU have been settled "politically, i.e. according to power rather than law".

And then follows the tall tale: "In the future we would have an arbitral tribunal that would make legally binding decisions on such disputes." "Legally binding" could not be decided by the arbitral tribunal right now. Article 10(3) of the Agreement on "Procedures Governing Disputes" states: "The decision of the Court of Justice of the European Union shall be binding on the arbitral tribunal."

The lie to the arbitration tribunal combines the somewhat tired economiesuisse and the youth-dynamic Operation Libero. And maybe otherwise.

Hans Geiger.

Source: <https://schweizerzeit.ch/die-uebeltaeter-sitzen-in-bern/>

The media "health check" of 5G mobile communications



The medial "health report" of the 5G mobile phone deception country: Thank God we are all still fully occupied with the approaching end of the world, because of the climate catastrophe which has been omnipresent for 120 years now. There is really hardly any time left to deal with the really murderous dangers. There are more and more people who consider, for example, the drastically increasing mobile radio to be a serious threat to life and limb. Unfortunately, this view contradicts another idea, that of the "brave new world" of commerce and total security.

Especially when it comes to the end of the world, we want to enjoy it live, in colour and without unnecessary delay as well as completely free of jerks. For such applications, the next generation of mobile communications is absolutely essential, or more precisely, the 5G network that has been talked about. Apparently, even the public media (state broadcasters) have some kind of "Unlearning by Lobbying" or something like that. Forgetfulness is not only human, but also sometimes quite expensive ... in every respect. It would be deeply inhumane, if you couldn't change your mind like underwear for an appropriate fee. People like to spread the word that the scientific community today only works like this.

It's really about survival

The following show is a rather old ham, which has already hung very well for more than a decade. So well that hardly anyone at Bayrischer Rundfunk can remember it. Obviously they had a completely different ethos in public stupid radio back then. That no longer happens today. At that time, people were already talking quite frankly about possible connections between mobile radio radiation and cancer. One should simply take a look at that.



(Note: See https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=221=CNdc39XNzKg)

Meanwhile, the need for consistency with the industry is becoming more important year by year. After all, the survival of an entire industry is at stake, which has shifted to radio communications and is now making billions in sales. When push comes to shove, one must admit that the one-time billions, unlike people, unfortunately do not grow back. If it is not earned or otherwise not realized, it is immediately lost for good with beautiful money. In this economically clear situation, the decision seems to be very easy for many grinders.

The toads are still calling

Even if the Naila study has somehow fallen into oblivion, after all it was not scientific, other toads are coming around the corner. Studies on cancer diseases near mobile phone base stations ... [BFS]. This is all quite horrible. And when you look at it in the light of day, everyone will immediately realize that it's better to forget it quickly. Under no circumstances should something like this spread and affect the peace-loving consumer people in their usual buying mood. Somehow you should make them forgotten very quickly. But how?

It is precisely here that Bayerischer Rundfunk has only recently rediscovered its true vocation. The business of the "Fuck-den-Checker" is currently flourishing almost everywhere. Surely all the scouts will soon be united in the ministry of truth. This could become the central contact point for the preservation of a healthy popular opinion. Here the BR praises once again the health-giving effect of 5G mobile radio: #Faktenfuchs: Does 5G harm health? ... [BR]. We don't know exactly, but none of this can be harmful. Whether thinking positively alone saves us from harm?

The cheapest laboratory rats are still the two-legged

The harmlessness of the new cannot be repeated often enough. It should be done on a daily basis. BR manages it ... almost: Test laboratory: No health effects of 5G ... [BR]. If you look long enough, you can surely find more of that at BR. Critique? Except for alibi purposes, rhetorically in lax questioning, it doesn't occur. Despite the all-clear, the scientists still have to be mentioned. Allegedly they are now demanding long-term studies. Hmm ... that means that we have to set up the many microwave emitters very quickly, everywhere, in order to then test over the next decade whether the two-legged laboratory rats will suffer any damage after all?

How is the BR supposed to know about the many contrary observations? Nobody at the station is paid for that. The state's job is to promote the economy, to make money. One should not put up with such a collection of material, like here and here on the page of 5Gfrei.de. One is also neutral, if one uses blinkers correctly and the misery left and right of the way may remain faded out. Thank God Nichtwissen in Germany still offers a serious protection in court. Is the BR draught horse with its blinkers really so future-oriented? Well, we better leave the evaluation of this to the unsuspecting viewer of this illustrious ray comedy.

Source: <https://qpress.de/2019/10/04/die-mediale-gesundschreibung-des-5g-mobilfunk/>

Study: US military in Asia would be destroyed within hours



—Published on October 8, 2019October 8, 2019

The United States is facing a serious crisis of strategic insolvency, so begins an ak-tuelle study. Especially in one of the regions that Washington considers one of the most important, military superiority is no longer guaranteed.

Just a moment ago, the US Secretary of State declared the Indo-Pacific region to be a major focus of US military strategy. According to a recent study by the United States Study Center at the University of Sydney, Australia, the US military there is now highly vulnerable, as military superiority in the region is a thing of the past.

For more than 70 years, the United States has been working to maintain its global hegemonic position by establishing appropriate power relations in the strategically most important regions of the world

- Europe, the Indo-Pacific region and the Middle East - maintained, according to the authors of the study. The Indo-Pacific balance of power has also been consolidated by the American armed forces for much of this period.

But the authors are alarmed - "The stakes could not be higher" - that since the early 1950s the position of the USA in the Indo-Pacific region has, in their opinion, been maintained by maintaining a strategic order.

But this foundation of stability is now under pressure.

This is because US and allied military bases in the Indo-Pacific region would be inferior to possible Chinese missile attacks - within hours they could even be overwhelmed. Especially in the area of missiles, but also beyond that, the Chinese military has made enormous progress compared to the US military.

China has stationed a huge number of precision missiles "to undermine the military superiority of Ame-rika," the report says.

Precision strikes could render almost all US military installations in the Western Pacific and those of its most important partners and allies unusable within the first hours of a military conflict.

Similarly, the annual report of the US Department of Defense had warned that Beijing would develop a world-class military and become "the leading power in the Indian-Pacific region", more than 2000 short-, medium- and long-range ballistic missiles, can hit land and sea targets.

The authors of the Australian study, like the Pentagon, see an absolute necessity for even higher arms budgets and claim that Australia, Japan and other US allies must re-focus their forces in the region, i.e. become more involved.

After all, the USA has spent years on missions all over the world and is facing growing deficits and increasing national debt. At the same time, an ideological polarization within and between the two major parties in Congress would make it difficult to reach agreement on an appropriate budget orientation.

Already last November, the National Defense Strategy Commission had presented a report to Congress that "the US military could suffer unacceptably high losses" and could have difficulty "winning or perhaps losing a war against China or Russia". The authors of the Australian study underline these warnings.

Meanwhile, China's Foreign Ministry told the Asia Times that it had not seen the report, but was pursuing a defensive military policy.

China is firmly on the path of peaceful development and our national defense policy is defensive in nature," said Geng Shuang, spokesman for the Chinese Foreign Ministry.

Source: <https://derwaechter.org/studie-us-militar-in-asien-ware-innerhalb-von-stunden-zerstort>

The EU on the way to becoming a Great European Empire

By Dr Pedro Reiser, October 9, 2019



Since the collapse of the Roman Empire, the idea of a new Great European Empire has appeared again and again. In the EU, too, this wishful thinking seems to be gaining ground. However, in contrast to earlier attempts, the aim is not to use military force, but to use the means of power of the 21st century: economic aid, blackmail and threats, and propaganda. What a few years ago were rather journalistic fantasy ideas (see e.g. Imperium Europa, DIE ZEIT, 11.09.2008) is today a serious political project.

Bruno Le Maire, the French Minister for Economic Affairs and Finance, who, in April 2019, in line with the wishes of President Emmanuel Macron, published a book entitled "Le Nouvel Empire - The Nouveau Empire".

L'Europe du vingt-et-unième siècle" publizierte. Hauptzielsetzung: "A power at the service of peace, defending its economic and military interests, its companies and its citizens. Europe must define a political project and assert itself in the 21st century as a new empire."

In Germany, Le Maire's advance was initially perceived as a French attempt to print on Berlin. Even before Le Maire's book appeared, the Handelsblatt of 11.11.2018 had the headline: "French finance minister puts pressure on Berlin - "Europe must become an empire. Le Maire was asked in an interview with the Handelsblatt:

"Why do you use this term Empire? It sounds shrill to us."

Le Maire: "I use the term to raise awareness that the world of tomorrow will be about power. Power will make the difference: Technological power, economic power, financial power, monetary power, cultural power will be crucial. Europe must no longer be afraid to use its power and be an empire of peace."

That this is supposed to be an empire of peace is all the more implausible as President Macron, of all people, together with Mrs Merkel, is calling for the early creation of a European army (Tagesanzeiger, 13.11.2018). France and Germany have been taking part in wars in Afghanistan, Libya, Syria, Mali, etc. for years. So now a pan-European army should also take part. War then means peacekeeping, as George Orwell foresaw in his eerily accurate novel "1984".

Guy Verhofstadt, the Brexite coordinator of the European Parliament and chairman of the Alliance of Liberals and Democrats for Europe (ALDE), confirmed that work on the "Great European Empire" project is being carried out with general staff.

"On 14 September 2019 Verhofstadt gave a lecture at the party conference of the "Liberal Democrats" in Bournemouth. In it he spoke of the plan which politicians in Brussels are currently hatching with the Commission: the Great European Empire. Because, Verhofstadt said, the world of the future is a world of great empires. China, India and the USA are great empires. To be able to play with these great empires, the EU must become a "European Empire". (sciencefiles.org) What does the transformation of the EU into a Great European Empire mean?

It means the deletion of the much-cited vision of a European federal state. An empire is the opposite of a federal state. It is a centralist, authoritarian structure modelled on the French monarchy of Louis XIV, which is primarily about power, as Bruno Le Maire clearly stated. Domestic and foreign political power. Democracy, freedom and the welfare of the people are put on hold and placed in the service of the attainment of power and the segregation of power. The EU must therefore take decisions more quickly and should abolish the principle of unanimity among EU members in decision-making, which has been necessary up to now. (Merkel, Tagesanzeiger, 13.11.2018)

As we have known since Lord Acton: "Power corrupts, absolute power corrupts absolutely."

First critical voices warn. For example, the German-Belgian historian David Engels: using twelve indicators, he compares various aspects of the EU's identity construction with crisis symptoms of the outgoing Roman Republic, drawing disturbing parallels: The transformation from a republic marked by a loss of values, a permanent crisis, a reform backlog and political immobility to an authoritarian and conservative empire is also becoming apparent in the EU today. Quo vadis, Euro-pa? For the historian David Engels one thing is certain: European democracy is irrevocably on the brink of the abyss. The Professor of Roman History compares the situation of the European Union with that of the doomed late Roman Republic by juxtaposing quotations from ancient philosophers and writers with the latest statistics on the state of Europe. He discovers parallels: Immigration problems and population decline, materialism and globalisation, loss of values and fundamentalism, technocracy and disenchantment with politics, the loss of freedom and democracy; all these seemingly modern problems already caused the Roman Republic to totter 2000 years ago and enabled Augustus to seize power.

Engel's comprehensive research results confirm Oswald Spengler's study "The Decline of the Occident" and enable a new understanding of the complex problems of our time. They also show, however, which course must be set if the worst is to be prevented. Decisive for the political survival of the European Union, according to his analysis, is the return to the very own European identity with its cultural tradition, beyond abstract egalitarianism. (Blurb of "On the Way to Empire - The Crisis of the European Union and the Fall of the Roman Republic. Historical Parallels", by David Engels, Europa Verlag, Berlin 2014)

So Engels predicted the burgeoning transformation of the EU into "an authoritarian empire" as early as 2014. He is right in his criticism of the EU's "abstract egalitarianism", although his belief in a "very own European identity with its cultural tradition" does not take enough account of the diversity of European cultures and traditions.

The transformation of the EU into a Great European Empire does not bode well for Switzerland. Once again in the course of its 700-year-old history, the Swiss Confederation will find itself surrounded by a European power structure. Will Switzerland once again have the will and the strength to defend its independence, freedom and direct democracy?

A test is about to be put to the test: the institutional framework agreement that would subject us to the Great European Empire. The acceptance or rejection of this agreement will be the litmus test of our resilience!

Source: <https://eu-no.ch/die-eu-auf-dem-weg-zum-grosseuropaeischen-reich/>

Fenugreek hunters



Ulrich Schlüter, Publishing Director "Schweizerzeit" Published on 11 October 2019

On October 9th, television SRF presented the "last voter survey" before October 20th - the Green Triumph, which promised to bring down the FDP.

The regret was palpable when the presenters also admitted that the blood-letting of the SVP on 20 October, which they had prepared so long in advance and which they had so intensively talked about, was unlikely to become a reality.

Is climate warming the dominant factor?

The "climate catastrophe", it was claimed, would clearly dominate the elections. This claim was based on the questioning of about twelve thousand people, who were all able to determine three topics which, in their opinion, would at least mainly influence the voters' decision to vote. From this, the survey organizers deduced that concern about global warming would continue to dominate all thinking. 37 percent of those surveyed said that the climate was the decisive factor in the elections.

Those who examined the figures themselves and did not merely accept the comments of the election prophets were certainly surprised: 42 percent of those surveyed cited the explosion in health insurance premiums as the main reason for their decision. Concern about the development of health insurance premiums thus mobilised five percent more worried people than the allegedly "clearly dominant topic of global warming".

EU question: by no means a thing of the past

Even more astonishment was caused by another discovery: the EU question, the concern about the EU connection, also reached 37 percent, exactly the same result on the voters' worry barometer as climate change. This is all the more surprising as the media - if they have ever picked up on the EU question in recent months - notoriously claim that the EU question is of little interest to the population.

A topic that the media avoided for months with meticulousness and which they notoriously played down, obviously receives the same attention as the daily, penetratingly broad-rolled, allegedly imminent climate catastrophe, which is adored with Greta worship and student demonstration adulation. This tie shows above all how little trust the public still has in media coverage ...

Mass immigration: yesterday's topic?

The survey statements on mass immigration are also interesting. Manipulation can also be identified on this topic. The survey architects are among those who in recent weeks and months have been praying down that the topic of mass immigration is long since over and

The agenda items fell - because there was hardly any mass immigration any more. Only a quarter of the interviewees would still consider this topic to be influential.

Completely changed situation

However, this value of 25 percent, which is interpreted as "minimal", does not contain any central, extremely important information in the survey evaluation:

The opinion poll underlying the survey results was by no means conducted in the last days of September or the first days of October. It was already conducted in June 2019, at the onset of summer. At that time, Italy's Minister of the Interior Salvini was still in charge of immigration policy. He kept the Mediterranean route strictly closed to illegal mass immigration. And at the same time, Viktor Orban, strongly supported by Austria, blocked the Balkan route - for which Brussels flooded him almost daily with abuse and insults.

The fact that at that time, in June, illegal mass immigration in Switzerland hardly took place any more, thanks to Salvini and Orban, reassured the population: mass immigration lost its threatening character.

Now, at the latest since September, Europe is facing a completely different situation: Salvini has been ousted from power. Italy is celebrating, for the sake of Brussels, already "Machet auf das Tor" again. Whether Austria will be able to maintain the rigorous immigration ban imposed by the ÖVP/FPÖ government after the FPÖ has left the government seems more than questionable. Only Orban still sticks to the course of rigorously preventing mass immigration.

Misappropriation of key information

It is obvious that this change in the situation cannot be deduced from figures already collected last June. The fact that the presenters and interpreters of the "last survey" left this change in the situation, which strongly relativised their figures, completely unmentioned to the viewers, i.e. deliberately undercut it, exposes the goal that the self-appointed opinion leaders are trying to achieve with their "last survey" before the elections.

Following Clausewitz, one is tempted to say: voter surveys - that is fighting for power in the state "by other means".

Once again the media - all major media coordinated - are proving to be unbiased reporters to the public. They want to influence - if necessary by means of manipulative "interpretation". Their aim was and is to weaken the SVP, which has had the strongest electoral base for years, and with it those forces that are fighting against EU integration and mass immigration - by any means and at any cost.

The power of the voter


The media may embezzle or manipulate facts that they do not like. But they are not yet in a position to prevent the voters from making independent decisions when filling in the ballot papers. It is the voter, not the interpreter of "last polls", who ultimately determines the outcome of the election. Democracy thrives on its responsible voters, not on poll-interpreters who try to fool voters with tendentious interpretations of results.

chen.

Ulrich Schlüer

The terror and the hypocrites

by Georg Immanuel Nagel, 11 October 2019



Georg Immanuel Nagel comments on the dishonest treatment with Halle's rampage and the hierarchy of victims.

When the first news of the failed attack on a synagogue in Halle came to light, it quickly became indisputable what was the most important question for most journalists and commentators: Which

Does the offender belong to an ethnocultural group? Is he a "refugee" or a "bio-German"?

At the latest after the distribution of the live stream of the perpetrator, who showed a completely amateurish procedure with partly self-made weapons and firecrackers, it was clear that it was probably an independently acting, mentally confused, individual amok runner and just a German. It is not surprising what kind of hypocritical rituals and political instrumentalizations are now taking place again.

Multicultural violence is accepted by the establishment

Every day in Western Europe, Islamic and other foreign perpetrators knife, gang rape or downright mass slaughter. The vast majority of everyday crimes, which are no longer considered worth mentioning, are no longer reported at all. What is leaked nevertheless is usually first put into perspective and obscured with false information. One always tries to deny the ethical or religious background of the perpetrators and conceals their identities.

The perpetrators of violence are then usually dismissed as merely mentally confused. Political reactions are only to be found in the largest Islamist terrorist attacks with dozens of operas. After feigned expressions of mourning, however, it is always announced that politically everything should continue as before. Often it is added that one must now above all act against the "instru-mentalization of the act by right-wing populists" or generally "against the right".

This form of flooding our once peaceful societies with uninterrupted violence is therefore simply accepted by established politics. No matter how many citizens are raped, beaten up or murdered, it will continue as before.

Single act is exploited with relish

The situation is completely different now with the current amok run from Halle, because this time the perpetrator fits once into the unrealistic world view of the ruling ideology. Within a few hours a me-dial storm of indignation swept through Europe, as would be impossible with all the other constant murders. Heads of government and heads of state outdid themselves with shock and sadness. The usual suspects gathered for vigils and even the EU Parliament held a minute's silence.

The difference relevant to the establishment is the victim group or the planned victim group, because fortunately no Jews were harmed. The killed are Germans from dark Germany and bear the names Jana and Kevin. There is an unwritten hierarchy of victims in the West. German or generally white victims are lower in rank. Foreigners, Jews etc. belong to the first class victims who are to be treated preferentially.

The measurement is made with two different measures

Just recently there was another failed terrorist attack on a synagogue in Germany, in Berlin-Mitte. On October 4th, the Syrian Mohamad M., armed with a knife, jumped over the fence of the synagogue and shouted "Allahu Akbar" and "Fuck Israel", but fortunately he was overpowered by guards before a bloodbath occurred.

What happened next? Was there an international outcry in the media, including demonstrative shock proclamations by top politicians? No, of course not, because this act was one of the innumerable, everyday multicultural crimes made possible by the insane mass immigration policy of precisely these political elites. Moreover, Mohamad M. was not arrested, but charged at liberty. So he is now running around like a ticking time bomb or will simply disappear.

In the Berlin attack attempt there was also competition between the victims. Both Muslims and Jews belong to the official victim groups of "German racism". Especially the expanding enmity between Muslims and Jews is something that can hardly be processed and realized by do-gooders. For leftists everything is black and white and all people can be divided into "good" and "evil".

Since for multicultis both Muslims and Jews fall into the category of "good people" and only Germans, especially patriotic Germans, fall into the category of "bad people", they simply cannot process this error in the matrix. Therefore the attack of Berlin was largely simply ignored, while the one of Halle will surely be exploited for weeks to come.

Now the instrumentalization starts

It is needless to say that nobody in the patriotic camp can have the slightest sympathy for the neo-Nazi madman from Halle and that his gruesome bloody deed is to be condemned in the strongest possible terms. Although this is in fact a mentally ill lone perpetrator, who has no contact whatsoever

to any political group, we'll still have to listen to ourselves for half an eternity saying that we're somehow to blame.

The same people who usually always warn against "instrumentalization" of Islamic murderers are exactly those - those who now act completely irreverently. This is not only shabby in human terms, but also refuses to enter into a realistic debate about the escalation of tensions within a failed multicultural society that is threatening to sink into chaos and violence.

For example, the CSU Federal Minister of the Interior, Horst Seehofer, has announced that he sees the alternative for Germany (AfD) as "intellectual arsonists", although this alternative has never made negative statements about Jews. Seehofer's party comrade, Bavarian Interior Minister Joachim Hermann, also used this hackneyed phrase of "spiritual arsonists" to make the AfD more expensive without any factual connection. According to him, "recently some representatives of the AfD had also been impertinent in attracting attention".

Hermann certainly did not explain what exactly "attracted their attention" in this respect. Even the CDU Education Minister of Schleswig-Holstein, Karin Prien, did not miss the opportunity to rush against the unwelcome competition: "The fertile soil for the assassination attempt in Halle is also promoted by the AfD," she claimed on Twitter.

Hypocrisy sucks

Our sincere condolences go to all innocent victims, no matter from which side. But if I now have to put up with the lying faces of various politicians and their hypocritical speeches, then that just makes me angry. These willing executors of the open borders otherwise have no mercy either, but now they are pressing for tears in order to use them to incite those who think differently. That is just disgusting.

(Bild: Horst Seehofer, Pixabay). Source: <https://www.blauenarzisse.de/der-terror-und-die-heuchler/>

Extinction Rebellion: Why we should be concerned about the global upheaval

By Ramin Peymani / guest author14. October 2019 Updated: October 14, 2019 10:36

The "Extinction Rebellion" founded in Great Britain will soon celebrate its first anniversary. Reason enough to take a closer look at the group, which, according to its own account, is active in more than 70 countries.



A small group of vociferous activists is currently making the headlines. The size of Extinction Rebellion (XR) in Germany is estimated at just over 5000 members. Against this background, the media coverage is completely disproportionate.

A few thousand supporters is not much, considering how easy it is to join the movement. No membership fees, no admission procedures, no barriers. Those interested in associations and parties have to do their best. Even some citizens' initiatives demand more from their members. Worldwide there are supposed to be 100,000 "activists".

By comparison, Lions and Rotary, two nongovernmental organizations that actually do good instead of being destructive, each have well over one million members - and that with high admission and membership fees and significant barriers to entry. However, those who have money and help discretely are of no interest to the opinion leaders. The editorial offices much rather report on their left-wing soul mates, who provide the material for headlines with apocalypse fantasies.

The "rebels" founded in Great Britain will soon celebrate their first anniversary. Reason enough to take a closer look at the group, which, according to its own account, is active in more than 70 countries. In the meantime, there are said to be almost 100 local groups in this country as well, of which more than half are classified as "active".

Behind the city blockers is the British company Compassionate Revolution Ltd, which means "compassionate revolution". But the XR rebels are not compassionate at all. They don't care at all that they put millions into coercive custody in order to enforce their ideology.

Roger Hallam, one of the co-founders of Extinction Rebellion, recently made it clear that people are willing to accept the dead.

In their radical fight against all ordinary citizens who have declared them a threat to the planet, the troublemakers have so far limited themselves to civil disobedience. They do not yet organize hunts on dissenters, nor is their readiness to use violence limited to damage to property, coercion and violation of liberty, nor have blockades of big cities and infrastructure fighting claimed any victims.

But Roger Hallam, one of the co-founders of XR, recently made it clear that there is a willingness to accept the dead. It is not without reason that even the eco-leftist Jutta Dittfurth warns against the grouping, but with the remark that XR is capable of connecting to the right, which seems rather absurd, the left-wing anarchists receive no support from the right-wing camp.

Meanwhile, the British police classify XR as an "international rebellion" and arrested more than 1200 people during the recent protests in London. Robert Walton, the former head of Scotland Yard's counter-terrorism unit, is therefore calling for uncompromising action against the organisation, which in his opinion is fed by the involvement of political extremists and anarchists and uses climate protection as a cover.

Especially in their mother country, the Extinction "activists" are now facing considerable headwind. And also more and more blockade participants grumble. They complain publicly that the consequences of their misdemeanours and crimes were shown to them far too late by the organisers. Some of the participants in the happening did not seem to have understood what they were getting into when they joined the tightly organized trouble squads.

The wire-pullers of the world rebellion, co-financed by a British hedge fund billionaire, unashamedly recruit "activists" by means of monthly subsidies.

Too tempting was probably the prospect of feeding their own life plan. After all, the backers of the left-wing anarchist network are offering the equivalent of up to 450 euros a week for anyone who wants to help their revolutionary movement succeed and proves that they cannot cover their own living costs. Between March and September 2019 alone, the organisation spent over 400,000 euros on this.

The money flows abundantly, because behind the network are potent financiers whose motives, however, sometimes seem opaque. It is anything but a marginal note that the wirepullers of the world rebellion, co-financed by a British hedge fund billionaire, are unashamedly recruiting "activists" through their monthly living allowances. They should also be able to get a grip on the burgeoning internal unrest quickly, as there is more than enough money to settle possible claims for reimbursement by thousands of blockers condemned to pay damages.

Far more unpleasant is the current racism debate facing the Extinction Rebellion in Great Britain. The call to the judiciary to take care of the daily knife attacks in the British capital rather than prosecute peaceful demonstrators is seen as racist by other activists due to the high level of involvement of black youth. One is almost thankful for the absurd argumentation of the NGOs.

However, the whole thing is bitterly serious. One should not be deceived by the currently still manageable number of members. A dangerous upheaval could soon get out of control.

First published on DIE LIBERALE WARTE by Ramin Peymani

The current book by Ramin Peymani <Chronicle of Doom - Is it really only 5 to 12?> is available as a signed edition on request. <Witch hunt - The responsible citizen as enemy image of police and media and The horror - Germany's dangerous parallel society> is also available in bookshops. <Spukschloss Deutschland> is available as an ebook.

This contribution represents the opinion of the author only. It does not necessarily reflect the views of Epoch Times Deutschland.

Source: <https://www.epochtimes.de/meinung/gastkommentar/extinction-rebellion-warum-uns-die-weltweite-umsturzbewegung-sorge-bereiten-sollte-a3032405.html>

Extinction Rebellion action in Berlin

(Archive) Extinction Rebellion Stops Protests - Sputnik Readers Majority Against Rebellion© REUTERS / CHRISTIAN MANG 13:33 14.10.2019 By Marcel Joppa



Street blockades, protest marches and rallies - the environmental movement Extinction Rebellion had organized a week of radical but non-violent "uprising against extinction" in Berlin and other cities. This is now over for the time being. Meanwhile, our weekly survey shows the majority of Sputnik's readers felt that the protests went too far.

For one week, climate activists of the environmental protection group Extinction Rebellion had peacefully occupied intersections in Berlin time and again. Among others, they occupied the Große Stern at the Siegessäule and Potsdamer Platz. The protesters demand, among other things, that national governments immediately declare a climate manoeuvre. But now the rebellion in the capital is over, but only for the time being. A spokeswoman for the movement declared on October 13:

"We will end the mass blockades as of this week, but the rebellion has only just begun."

The reason: those who had previously taken care of the organisation of materials, food, waste disposal, communication and sleeping places stopped working at the end of the action week. Again and again it was noted how well the activists were organized. Among other things, they had come to Berlin with a professional press team. There was also a legal protection team that could take action in case of disputes with the authorities.

The protests themselves were less well received by Sputnik readers. In our weekly survey we wanted to know what you think about the actions of the Extinction Rebellion. The answers were quite clear: 52.1 percent of the more than 2800 survey participants decided to answer "This is going too far! The state must take rigorous action against it!". The demonstrations had not been officially registered, but were tolerated by the police.

"Extinction Rebellion" in Berlin: Impressions from the inner life of a "radical" climate movement

In second place in the weekly survey, 24.4 percent of respondents said "Road blockades and rebellion are useless and hit the wrong people". Federal Transportation Minister Andreas Scheuer expressed similar sentiments last week. The CSU politician called the road blockades "unspeakable". On the fringes of an event, he explained:

"Early in the morning, they block people who drive to work and make sure that prosperity is generated in Germany every day."

In the Federal Ministry of Transport, active climate protection is pursued every day - with innovations and incentives, said Scheuer.

But that is exactly what the environmental activists doubt. They sharply criticized the federal government's climate policy and accused it of inaction. The movement has been supported from the very beginning by a number of renowned scientists, intellectuals and celebrities. Also 14.8 percent of the participants in our survey fully support the goals of Extinction Rebellion. This is how the response option "Keep it up! The pressure on the Federal Government must be increased" comes in third place.

Among the supporters of the peaceful rebellion are intellectuals and critics of US politics, Noam Chomsky. In Germany, too, there was an open letter to the federal government earlier this month, signed by 90 celebrities, including actress Anna Loos, Christian Ulmen, Bela B, Rocko Schamoni and Bodo Wartke. In their protests, the movement considers it important to act non-violently and peacefully. This is also supported by 8.7 percent of our survey participants, who voted for the answer "Demonstrating is good, but please do everything within the law".

Extinction Rebellion - in German roughly: Rebellion against extinction - originally from Great Britain. According to their own statements, the group has also existed in Germany since November last year. Although the protest actions in Germany have been stopped for the time being, new actions are apparently being planned. A chat message from the movement is the latest news:

"Save your good ideas for the times ahead, because our rebellion will be a marathon, not a sprint."

According to its own assessment, the environmental protection group has sensitized many citizens throughout Germany to the danger of an ecological crisis with its actions. Hundreds of people had recently participated in the protests in Berlin.

Quelle: <https://de.sputniknews.com/panorama/20191014325856415-extinction-rebellion-stoppt-proteste/>

US president criticizes US wars with clear words

11:32 14.10.2019 By Karl-Jürgen Müller

US President Donald Trump has declared: US wars in the Middle East were "the worst decision ever made in the history of our country".

On October 9, 2019, at 2:14 in the afternoon, the US President announced a significant change in the US economy.

tendency Twitter message to the accusations against his decision to withdraw US troops from the north Syria's withdrawal is responding.

This message said:

"The United States has spent EIGHT TRILLION DOLLARS fighting and policing in the Middle East. Thousands of our Great Soldiers have died or been badly wounded. Millions of people have died on the other side. GOING INTO THE MIDDLE EAST IS THE WORST DECISION EVER MADE IN THE HISTORY OF OUR COUNTRY! We went to war under a false & now disproven premise, WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION. There were NONE! Now we are slowly & carefully bringing our great soldiers & military home." In deutscher Übersetzung heisst das:

"The United States has spent \$8 trillion on fighting and policing the Middle East. Thousands of our great soldiers have died or been seriously wounded. Millions of people have died on the other side. Going to the Middle East is the worst decision ever made in the history of our country. We went to war under a false and now refuted premise, the weapons of mass destruction. There were none. Now we are bringing our great soldiers and our military home slowly and carefully."

In the days following this Twitter message, a few English-language media, for example the "Washington Post", quoted this announcement by the President, but usually combined it with sharp criticism of the President. In German-language media, it was probably only the RT-Deutsch website that reported and commented on October 11.

This is (unfortunately not) astonishing, because the statements of Donald Trump are a sensation - and in fact they should initiate a radical change in world politics. Not the content of the statements is sensational. The analysis of the US wars in the Middle East has long been familiar to those who have critically examined it. Sensational is the fact that these statements come from the acting US president. There has not been such a thing from any US president since 2003 - nor from any of the US allies' government officials in Europe.

The former German Chancellor Gerhard Schröder - when he was no longer Chancellor - had admitted a few years ago that NATO's war of aggression against the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia during his chancellorship and with German participation in 1999 was contrary to international law. This is still ignored by those responsible in NATO today - although Schröder's statement is correct and consequences for those responsible would have to follow. So far no report! Although Pandora's Box has been open since 1999.

It remains to be seen whether the current statements of the US president will have consequences. But anyone who has long been struck by the madness of the US and NATO wars of recent years and who has repeatedly drawn attention to this injustice and the associated sacrifices and destruction can now invoke this. The statements of US President Donald Trump should be displayed on large posters in every city and village of every Nato state

be hung. And in every town and village in every country in the Middle East. And in every city and village in every country in the world.

How do we want to shape our future? Continue as in the past years, further and further towards the abyss and war? Or in the knowledge that any decision by any country to go to war would be for any country the "worst decision ever made in the history of our country"?

Source: <https://de.sputniknews.com/kommentare/20191014325855723-trump-kritisiert-us-kriege/>

The Swiss success model is at risk



EU-No-Newsletter, News | 17 October 2019

Switzerland stands for freedom and independence. But "Switzerland" is also a brand and a symbol for a functioning economy with good framework conditions. So far we have defended these values with a liberal self-image. This includes our direct democracy but also our lived social partnership. But these advantages are now seriously threatened by the Framework Agreement.

Swiss model in danger

With its stable politics, prospering economy and prosperity, the "Swiss success model" is known and sought after far beyond the country's borders. Many countries envy us for our good framework conditions. However, our federal, business-friendly and also social system is in danger with the framework agreement.

Over the decades, Switzerland has developed a strong social partnership between employer and employee. This has enabled employers and employees to work closely together to provide social benefits and attractive working conditions. A high level of wages is one of the resulting achievements that make our country attractive for skilled workers. In order to maintain these conditions in the long term, care has been taken to keep the labour market lean in terms of freedom and bureaucracy. The wage protection resulting from the free movement of persons is a compromise. However, a framework agreement would undermine this. Employers and employees would then be exposed to bureaucratic top-down decisions. The EU and the EU Court of Justice would have jurisdiction over Switzerland.

Allies in the EU

Interestingly, Switzerland is not alone against the EU in this respect. Luca Visentini, General Secretary of the European Trade Union Confederation, is even campaigning energetically for the EU to rein in its behaviour towards Switzerland. He envies Switzerland for the flanking measures and thinks they are right. He even sees Switzerland's successful model as a role model for the EU:

"Brussels should take Switzerland as a role model instead of weakening wage protection, but why the EU is taking such a restrictive approach against Switzerland and not recognising employee protection remains a mystery to one of the EU's top trade unionists. He comments on the framework agreement as follows:

"... the negotiations for the Framework Agreement are effectively on hold. [...]"

It is to be hoped that the Swiss trade unions will remain consistent in their justified attitude towards foreign law and foreign judges and not allow themselves to be bought into the yes camp.

Source: <https://eu-no.ch/das-erfolgsmodell-schweiz-ist-gefaehrdet/>

Eurostat - 109 million EU citizens live in poverty


Thursday, 17 October 2019 , by Freeman at 09:00

On the occasion of the so-called "Tripartite Social Summit" in Brussels on Wednesday and International Day for the Eradication of Poverty, Eurostat has published the number of people living and living on the poverty line in the EU. In 2018, 109.2 million people, or 21.7% of the population of the European Union, were at risk of poverty or suffering social exclusion.



This means that the people concerned fall into at least one of the following three criteria: At risk of poverty despite receiving social benefits (income poverty), severe material deprivation or living in households with very few job opportunities.


After three consecutive years of rising between 2009 and 2012 to almost 25 per cent or 124 million, the proportion of people at risk of poverty or social exclusion in the EU has since fallen steadily to 21.7 per cent last year.



But still, 109 million is more than steep, because 21.7 percent is only the EU average. There are member countries like Bulgaria, where almost 33 percent of the population is affected by poverty. Poverty is lowest in the Czech Republic, at 12.2 percent.

Surprisingly, Luxembourg, of all places, has the highest increase in poverty, from 15.5 percent in 2008 to 21.9 percent in 2018. What is it that has made people poorer over the past 10 years?

In contrast, poverty in Bulgaria has been reduced from an incredible 44.8 percent in 2008 to 32.8 percent in 2018. At least a small success, but still far too high, when the EU turbo-chargers always advertise it, comes to the EU and experiences paradise.



Germany is close to the EU average with just under 20 percent of the population on the poverty line. What was Merkel's CDU campaign advertisement from 2017? "Für ein Deutschland, in dem wir gut und gerne leben."



An ASR reader from Germany told me that as a married couple they do not quite receive 900 euros pension per month. They could not pay the rent and living costs with that. Without a secondary income it would not work. In addition, as a pensioner you have to pay health and nursing care insurance contributions. In addition to these contributions, taxes are also payable on the pension if it exceeds the annual basic allowance of 9168 euros. According to statistics from the German Pension Insurance Fund, male pensioners received an average of 1,095 euros at the end of 2017 if they lived in one of the old federal states. In the new federal states, however, the average pension was 1,198 euros per month.

Women entitled to a pension received an average pension of 622 euros in the old federal states, whereas the average pension of women pensioners in the new federal states was 928 euros. I myself receive a pension (AHV) from Switzerland of 1,170 Swiss francs per month, even though I have paid in all my working life and raised three children who pay in. This amount is a joke, because that's how much a small apartment costs in rent.

This is, by the way, also one of the reasons why I had to leave Switzerland. But you can get by with this ridiculous amount for Switzerland in Abkhazia.

Yes, the EU interferes everywhere, exports the so-called "European values", wants to incorporate even more failed countries like Georgia and the Ukraine as an insatiable feast, but it cannot reduce poverty significantly even in the existing size.

But we do have a "flourishing" economy that is buzzing, the media and politicians tell us. What will it look like when the recession takes hold and a depression follows?

Source: <http://alles-schallundrauch.blogspot.com/2019/10/eurostat-109-millionen-eu-burger-leben.html#ixzz639wmMGto>

Bavarian Minister of Culture breaks the law



Image: pixabay / nikolayhg | Pixabay license

German as a language of science is in danger, especially if its political representatives do not stand up for it. More than 90 percent of publications are now in English, and the databases of references are purely English. It has been proven that a forced "Academic pidgin English" lowers the level in the seminars. Studies in several European countries have concluded that the understanding and content of scientific teaching flattens when English becomes the language of instruction.

Up to now, only massive resistance from scientists and ADAWIS representatives has been able to prevent studies in Germany from being conducted in English from the first to the last semester. In Bavaria, for example, English-language Bachelor's degree courses were only possible if the course was a so-called twin course to an already existing German-language course. This is now to change: In a letter, the Minister of Education and Cultural Affairs, Bernd Sibler, advises the Bavarian university presidents to introduce purely English-language Bachelor's degree courses as soon as possible. He wants to create the legal basis for this later. This is a call for open violation of the law. Josef Kraus on "Tichys Einblick": "If they follow his 'permission', they act at their own risk, and the minister himself violates his official duties in the legal supervision of the universities" (tichyseinblick.de, adawis.de) Source: VDS - Verein Deutsche Sprache Infobrief of 18.10.2019

North America

"Queen of the warmongers" - Tulsi Gabbard responds to Hillary Clinton's accusations

10/19/2019 - 17:33

Democratic US Congresswoman Tulsi Gabbard accused Hillary Clinton of being behind a "concerted campaign" to destroy their reputation. Gabbard called on Clinton to run in the primary to participate in the 2020 presidential election instead of hiding.



"Queen of the warmongers" - Tulsi Gabbard responds to Hillary Clinton's accusations

Source: Reuters © Reuters / Scott Morgan <https://de.rt.com/20an> The Democratic congresswoman for Hawaii Tulsi Gabbard and former US Secretary of State Hillary Clinton.

Congresswoman Tulsi Gabbard (Hawaii) has accused Hillary Clinton of being behind a "concerted campaign" to destroy her reputation and called on her to stop the game of hide-and-seek and openly participate in the primary for the 2020 presidential election.

Previously, the former US Secretary of State had circulated the daring thesis that Russians were Congresswoman Gabbard as candidate 2020. Clinton also claimed that Green Party candidate for 2016, Jill Stein, is also a Russian trump card.

Gabbard, in turn, accused Clinton of deliberately trying to discredit her reputation and called her "Queen of the warmongers".

Great! Thanks, Hillary Clinton," Gabbard tweeted on Friday afternoon. "You, the queen of warmongers, embodiment of the corruption and rot that has so long plagued the Democratic Party, have finally emerged from behind the curtain."

Great! Thank you @HillaryClinton. You, the queen of warmongers, embodiment of corruption, and personification of the rot that has sickened the Democratic Party for so long, have finally come out from behind the curtain. From the day I announced my candidacy, there has been a ...

Since the day I announced my candidacy, there has been a concerted campaign to destroy my reputation. We wondered who was behind it and why. Now we know - it has always been you, through your deputies and powerful allies in the corporate media and the war machine, who are afraid of the threat I represent," Gabbard added.

In an interview, Clinton had claimed that Moscow was looking after someone who is currently involved in the Democratic Party's election campaign.

She's the Russians' favorite, they have many websites and bots and other ways to support her," Clinton said.

The pre-election candidate for the 2020 presidential election reacted quickly to the accusations and said that it was Clinton who was behind the smear campaign that began the first day after Gabbard's candidacy.

Gabbard also noted that Clinton and the Campaign for Human Rights, which supported Clinton during the elections, "spread conspiracy theories to justify their failure" instead of considering the real reasons for the defeat of presidential candidate Clinton.

She added that this primary was one between her and Clinton, challenging the former Foreign Minister and First Lady:

Don't cowardly hide behind your substitutes. Enter the race directly.

During the 2016 campaign, Gabbard resigned as vice chair of the Democratic National Committee (DNC) after supporting Bernie Sanders as the party's presidential candidate.

Clinton, on the other hand, outshone Sanders, mainly because of the support of unaccountable "superdelegates". Later it turned out that their campaign had completely taken over the DNC - which Gabbard may have alluded to with the "rot that has made the Democratic Party so long sick".

Source: <https://deutsch.rt.com/nordamerika/93695-koenigin-der-kriegstreiber-tulsi-gabbard-antwortet-auf-hillary-clintons-vorwuerfe/>

Excerpt from the 663rd report of the conversation between Ptaah and Billy of November 6, 2016

Billy ... But what I want to ask is this: You told me some time ago that the most primitive election battle ever for the US presidency would fortunately be decided in the USA in such a way that the majority of the US population would vote for the lesser evil, thus avoiding a world war. But I should keep silent about this, because the 'walls in the center would have ears', which means that everything would be carried out into the world and thus also into the US, which would not be good and could negatively influence the election process if certain elements were to find out that the Clinton would be 'sawed off' and Trump would take over the helm.

Ptaah It would have been really dangerous if you had told me something, for it would have caused very unpleasant and dangerous uproar in certain internal government circles and in certain secret services if they had learned of what I had confided in you. There would have been unprecedented electoral manipulations, but also life-threatening attacks on Trump, both from the secret services and from the ranks of democratic fanatics. He would not have received any help from the Republican Party, although he belongs to it, but if I want to give my opinion on his behaviour, I must say that he actually represents his own party, his own one-man party, so to speak. As you have correctly judged him, he is, at least as an election campaigner, chaotic in his campaigning motives and a disaster person. I must say, however, that he is otherwise well-intentioned and not bad, including in relation to Russia and Putin, with whom he honestly wants to seek peaceful political, military and economic agreement. This in contrast to Clinton, who has underhanded and evil thoughts of war against Russia and therefore wants, firstly, to carry out military action in Syria against the Russian military fighting there and also to carry out warlike attacks against Russia itself. **A fact in relation to her attack-Thoughts that she, as an enemy of Putin and Russia, has long cherished and wants to realize. And if she wins the presidential election, nuclear war would be inevitable. ...**

China's Minister of Defense brings it to the point: USA instigate colour revolutions worldwide

Sott.net. Mon, 21 Oct 2019 17:21 UTC

In a speech in Beijing, the Chinese defense minister sharply criticized the US colour revolutions around the world and rightly called these actions the main of war and unrest on our planet. He did not mention...

explicitly America, but from the context of the current situation it is clear that this is only ...can act around the United States.

Wei Fenghe © Reuters

Wei Fenghe during his speech on Monday in Beijing

The Chinese defense minister has sharply criticized the USA. In a speech in Beijing, Wei Fenghe declared that interference in internal affairs, colour revolutions and the policies of the Chan-ge regime were the real causes of war and unrest in the world.

The Chinese Minister of Defense Wei Fenghe has accused the USA of instigating color revolutions in other countries and using a "long arm tactic" to interfere in China's internal affairs.

Wei Fenghe also stressed that China will not be intimidated.

At the Xiangshan Forum, the annual Chinese security and defence conference, Wei said on Monday that nations should settle their differences through dialogue and mutual respect. China will never give in to foreign pressure. Literally, Wei said:

"Interference in the internal affairs of other countries, inciting colour revolutions or even attempts to overthrow the legitimate governments of other countries are the real causes of wars and unrest in various regions of the world.

You can't solve problems with a big stick.

Wei condemned major powers that used the "big stick" and imposed sanctions to exert "maximum pressure" on other countries:

"Swinging the big stick or resorting to the "long arm tactic" will not solve any problems. And with sanctions you never get results. The Chinese people are not intimidated, we are not afraid."

Source: <https://de.sott.net/article/33832-Chinas-Verteidigungsminister-bringt-es-auf-den-Punkt-USA-zetteln-weltweit-Farbrevolutionen-an>

Video: Assange filmed in police car after court session

Sott.net. Mon, 21 Oct 2019 16:55 UTC

More than a year has passed since Wikileaks founder Julian Assange was arrested. It was clear from the outset that both his arrest and his previous seven-year forced stay at the Ecuadorian embassy in London were a deliberate campaign of intimidation, in which Assange was made an example of. This should make it clear to every person on this planet where you can end up if you dare to stand up for the truth and expose the unscrupulous machinations in the highest circles of power. The arrest of Assange is a warning from history, as John Pilger so aptly put it.



Julian Assange

After months of silence about the condition of the unjustly arrested Wikileaks founder, Assange was seen in public for the first time after the court session in London.

Sputnik shows the exclusive video with Wikileaks founder Julian Assange after the court session in London. Assange's defence had asked the court for a postponement of the hearing, but received a refusal.

The whistleblower is threatened with delivery to the USA. Many visitors and supporters of Assange attended the meeting, including the former Mayor of London Ken Livingstone.

Source: <https://de.sott.net/article/33831-Video-Assange-nach-Gerichtssitzung-im-Polizeiauto-gefilmt>

The Oath of Disclosure

by Bernhard Trautvetter / Tuesday, 22 October 2019, 14:00 hrs

Erdogan's attack on the Kurds in Syria shows that NATO has never been a community of values, but rather an instrument of colonisation.



Photo: Bumble Dee/Shutterstock.com

It is easy to forget when you hear the aggressive rhetoric of the Turkish president towards European politicians: Turkey is part of NATO, it belongs to "us". What is currently taking place - an illegal attack on Syrian territory and serious human rights violations against the Kurds - is not praised by all NATO partners, but Erdogan need not fear serious consequences. His assault had a long lead time, open regime change attempts by the USA and other allies. Nobody seems to be upset anymore, only because such things have long since become the trademark of the Western "community of values".

With the Turkish war against the Kurds in the neighbouring state of Syria, the implausibility of Western propaganda and war strategy is more crystal clear than it has been for a long time. The situation is reminiscent of the Vietnam War, in which the world public no longer believed the USA was defending any human or democratic values against its enemy in the East, i.e. the Soviet Union and China. That was never the issue in the Western wars. It was and is about resources, power influence and simply money, in other words about the interests of US corporations, not only in the arms industry. The propagandistic talk of NATO as a community of values cannot mean the human values of the UN Charter, because it is all about profit rates.

The prehistory of Turkey's aggression against Syria includes many landmarks of forces that now give the impression that they wash their hands of the war and are opponents of it. In doing so, they cannot get rid of the spirits they themselves have called out.

In the face of the Turkish aggression against the neighbouring state of Syria, NATO is positioning itself so softly in its public relations work that Turkey can feel encouraged: NATO Secretary General Jens Stoltenberg said he had "concerns about destabilising the region" and asked the government to "act with restraint". He stressed that Turkey is an important partner and NATO is committed to Turkey's security (1).

Not surprisingly, Turkey is geographically something of a NATO aircraft carrier in its global strategy towards Russia, the Gulf States, Iran, Iraq, Afghanistan and so on, not just Syria.

The prehistory of this war goes back decades, as the following words of the Middle East expert Michael Lüders reveal: "This begins with the first military coup that the American secret service CIA carried out in Syria in 1949. The background was that the then government in Damascus did not agree to the construction of a trans-Arab oil pipeline. After the coup, the next government then signed the corresponding contract.

There were two further attempts by Washington to stage a coup in Syria in the 1950s, hence the close ties between Damascus and Moscow. Shortly after the start of the unrest in Syria in 2011, the first wafers were delivered to the insurgents, first from Libya, then from various countries via Turkey. The CIA was an important wire-puller in this process. Most of the insurgents are not concerned with democracy, the vast majority of them come from the environment of al-Qaida and its Syrian offshoots" (2).

However, Germany is also a leading participant in the action of the NATO state of Turkey, which is a fire hazard and violates international law. If only because Turkey uses German military equipment, including Unimog/Mercedes, in its attack on Syria (3).

At the beginning of 2012, the US State Department financed a project entitled "Day After", coordinated by the government-affiliated Berlin Foundation for Science and Politics and initially subject to a news blackout. This includes scenarios in which Syrian opposition forces - partly allied with jihadists - fight against Bashar al-Assad. It was about concrete plans for a Syria without its president Assad and for a future economic order with possible leaders to be rebuilt, partly from the ranks of the militia of the "Free Syrian Army". The Federal Government supported this project, among other things, "in applying for and granting visas" (4), especially for jihadists, the so-called Friends of Syria and the militia of the so-called Free Syrian Army.

This project, the people involved in it and the resulting activities in the Syrian war - all this constitutes a series of violations of the sovereign rights of a UN state and is therefore illegal under international law. It is irrelevant to this assessment whether the President of Syria is a dictator or not. The forces that replace international law with the injustice of the strongest apply double standards and pick out for their strategy and propaganda those against whom they take action.

Unfortunately, this also applies to some alternative forces from the spectrum up to the Green Party, whose politician Ferhad Ahma demanded the support of the military forces in Syria, although they also Jihadists from the Al Qaeda environment included (5).

In doing so, they have not only spoken out against violations of international law, but have also played into the hands of Western global strategy: In 1999, the world-renowned peace researcher Johan Galtung analysed the Western global strategy in his work on Cold War II (6), and even then he named

the following 'rogue states' against which a future war would be directed: Libya, Syria, Iraq and Iran (7).

This explains the duplicity of the West, which has its eye on the region's energy resources.

But there are not only opponents, but also allies such as the NATO state of Turkey. Michael Lüders commented on this in an informative review of developments in connection with the Workers' Party of Kurdistan PKK on Deutschlandfunk radio as follows:

"The PKK has undergone a change from a terrorist organisation in the past to a political party that it has become more and more, and we do not want to forget that the German government also has a very pragmatic approach to the PKK. Officially, the PKK is considered a terrorist organisation in Germany and in the European Union, but, as we know, the German Government supplies weapons to the Kurds in northern Iraq, the so-called Peshmerga. The actual fighters against the Islamic state in Syria and Iraq are, however, above all PKK fighters, who therefore indirectly receive weapons also from Berlin" (8).

In the meantime, Russian and Syrian military police patrol cities in northern Syria where US troops were present just a few days ago.

Against this background, the entire Western military propaganda, according to which NATO is the community of values and the others are the bad guys, turns out to be pure fiction.

The anti-Russian NATO propaganda and the forces supporting it are thus once again falling apart: With reference to Ukraine, where the West ascribes responsibility for the conflicts and violence to Russia, the entire policy of armament and escalation, including nuclear armament and the militarization of the EU, as well as the provision of a so-called NATO spearhead on Russia's western border, is legitimized (9). Likewise, the two percent of the economic output of the NATO states demanded by the arms industry is justified, especially with Ukraine, which marks the end of the peace dividend (10).

Now a NATO partner, with the help of Syrian terrorist militias, is also liberating ISIS fighters, killing Kurds in their residential areas, and wants to conquer an area in neighbouring Syria in order to drive out the Kurdish population there and then replace them with Syrian refugees. The Turkish president propagandistically calls these crimes under international law a "source of peace" and is supported by Syrian militias that have emerged from the "Free Syrian Army".

"For his invasion, Turkish President Recep Tayyip Erdoğan has entered into an alliance with Syrian rebels. The alliance consists largely of Islamists and Salafists. (...) Numerous (...) fighters (...) were formerly members of the Al-Nusra front, the Syrian branch of the terrorist network Al Qaeda. The militia is one of many united under the roof of the Syrian National Army (SNA)." (11)

Bomb attacks on medical and educational institutions, residential areas and executions of Kurdish politicians, among them the Kurdish women's rights activist Havrin Khalaf, the capture of Turkish war critics under the pretext of propaganda of terror, the hundreds of thousands of new refugee sufferings, the traumatising of those who remain on the ground in fear ... - all these would be reasons enough for an absolutely watertight arms embargo, an end to the EU's billion-dollar payments to Turkey

- for example in connection with the accession negotiations and the refugee deal - and other legal actions by the international community to stop the aggressor. The UN Security Council, of which Germany is currently a member, would have to take a position at least as clearly as the West does when it comes to taking action against Russia.

It is true that NATO partners, including Germany, are now restricting arms supplies to the aggressor Turkey (12). The underlying inconsistency is ridiculous and exposes the entire NATO propaganda as double-faced in the manner of a lord of the manor.

The role of NATO - like that of the EU - in its contradictory nature is in any case tantamount to an oath of disclosure. The Frankfurter Rundschau wrote on 15 October 2019:

"It is (...) downright naive to cling to a functioning NATO like Germany, just as it is to the concept of a protecting power, the USA." (13)

This is by no means "only" about a watertight arms embargo against Turkey, an immediate end to financial support for the aggressor state, humanitarian support for the victims of the violence to date and a humane refugee policy in accordance with international law. All of this, too, but it is just as much a matter of priority to put an end to the war policy of NATO states and to end support for terrorist groups in the states between the Gulf region and the Mediterranean.

NATO has once again dropped its mask of peacekeeping and thus lost its legitimacy. The war export policy and the arms trade of the arms companies contradict the life interests of the people in the so-called Western hemisphere as well as those of the people in the death zones where the weapons of war are used.

And the policy of escalation against Russia must be ended, as must the upgrading of arms, the militarisation of the EU and Germany's increasingly strong military intervention policy. Wars do not end in peace!

This will also have an impact in Germany, where more than one million Kurdish citizens live, while the majority of people with Turkish roots who are not Kurds support Erdoğan's Kriegskurs.

If the federal government and the governing parties as well as broad sections of the opposition do not replace their wax-white attitude towards the crimes with clarity, it will be all the more difficult to work for clarity and non-violence in the public sphere. It will become more difficult and more necessary.

The so-called cease-fire that the USA and Turkey agreed on Thursday, October 17, 2019, includes the condition that the Kurdish forces leave the so-called Turkish security zone within Syria - Where to? The irresponsibility of this agreement also refers back to a statement of Turkey and the USA of August 2019, the "Statement on Joint Military Talks Regarding Syria" (14).

In it, both sides agreed that the Syrian refugees taken in by Turkey would be settled in a "peace corridor" in Syria by Turkey. This breach of international law was already the ticket for the war crimes committed by Turkey and its allies.

The Kurds, who have borne the main burden of the struggle against the IS in the region, are supposed to be the next victims of expulsion after the Palestinians. This people is already traumatised, not only by the horrors of the war policy following the US invasion of Iraq, but also by the fact that in many families at least one relative has died.

The consequences will occupy world politics for a long time, should this crime become reality. Now the Security Council would have to decide sanctions also against NATO states, above all the USA, in order to force them back onto the path of law. But then the UN would be forced into bankruptcy.

Here it is also a matter of protecting the last cornerstones of the international architecture of the world of states against

to defend militarists. If they too are abandoned to decay, then the military of the Earth the world around the ears of the people.

Sources and notes:

(1) Münstersche Zeitung, 11 October 2019

(2) https://www.volksfreund.de/nachrichten/themen-des-tages/interview-mit-nahost-experte-michael-lueders-die-folgen-des-syrienkriegs-traegt-europa_aid-5446379

(3) <https://akref.ead.de/akref-nachrichten/2019/10102019-syrien-tuerkische-militaeroffensive-in-syrien/>

(4) Bundestag document 17/10619 and: <http://www.imi-online.de/2015/08/06/syrien-wie-luftabwehr-und-voelkerrecht-ausgehebelt-wurden/>

(5) <https://de.indymedia.org/2013/02/341864.shtml>

(6) <http://www.fredsakademiet.dk/library/superpwr.htm>

(7) Ebenda, page 3.

(8) https://www.deutschlandfunk.de/tuerkei-lueders-erdogan-provoziert-unabsehbare-krise.694.de.html?dram:article_id=326784

(9) <http://www.bild.de/politik/ausland/wladimir-putin/provoziert-der-russische-praesident-neues-wettruesten-42931256.bild.html>

(10) https://www.japcc.org/wp-content/uploads/JAPCC_Read_Ahead_2019.pdf, page 58.


(11) Erdoğan's Dirty Warriors, NRZ, October 15, 2019.

(12) Kölnische Rundschau, 12 October 2019.

(13) The Syrian disaster, Frankfurter Rundschau, 15 October 2019. (14) See: Turkish Foreign Policy, WOZ, Zurich, 15 August 2019. Source: <https://www.rubikon.news/artikel/der-offenbarungseid>



Spreading the right symbol of peace



The false symbol of peace - the so-called <rune of death>, which is spread worldwide today and which originates from the Celtic Futhark runes resp. is the actual epitome of negative influences and creates destructive vibrations regarding discord, feuds and hatred, revenge, vice, addictions and bondage, because the <death rune> means for many people reminiscences of the NACI times, of death and ruin, but also ambitions regarding wars, terror, destruction of many human achievements and all necessary foundations of life of any kind and worldwide discord.

It is truly urgent that the <rune of death> be used as a false symbol of peace, which creates discord and restlessness, disappears completely from the earthly world and thus the ancient and true Peace symbol is spread all over the earth and made world-famous, whose central elements reflect peace, freedom, harmony, strengthening of the life force, protection, growth and wisdom, have a constructive effect and help very calming and peaceful-positive vibrations to break through, which can effectively convey peace, freedom and harmony! We therefore turn to all reasonable-

to all FIGU interest groups, FIGU study groups and FIGU national groups, and thus to all reasonable and honest people striving for peace, freedom, harmony, justice, knowledge and evolution, to do and give their best to spread the right symbol of peace worldwide and to raise awareness of the dangerous and destructive use of <death rune>, which in memory of the NACI crimes collectively promotes the degeneration of man's character, degeneration and disaster in the sense and in the aspirations of humanity, as this is unfortunately also extremely carried on after the end of the last world war 1939-1945 and up to the present time.

Spreading of the Correct Peace Symbol

The wrong peace symbol – the globally widespread “death rune” which has been fabricated from the Celtic Futhark runes or invert-ed Algiz rune – is the actual embodiment/quintessence of negative influences and evokes destructive swinging-waves regarding unpeace and hatred, revenge, vice, addictions and bondage, because for many human beings the “death rune” means reminiscence (memories) of the Nazi era, of death and ruin as well as ambitions concerning war, terror, destruction of human achievements, livelihoods as well as global evil unpeace.

Therefore it is of the utmost necessity that the wrong peace symbol, the “death rune”, disappears from the world and that the ur-ancient and correct peace symbol is spread and made known all-over the world, because its central elements reflect peace, free-dom, harmony, strengthening of the life power, protection, growth and wisdom, have a constructive and strongly soothing effect, and help peaceful-positive swinging-waves to break through.

Therefore we appeal to all FIGU members, all FIGU-Interessengruppen, Studien- and Landesgruppen as well to all reasonable hu-man beings, who are honestly striving for peace, freedom, harmony, fairness, knowledge and evolution, to do, and give, their best to spread the correct peace symbol worldwide and to bring forth clarification about the dangerous and destructive use of the “death rune”, which in memory of the Nazi crimes collectively furthers deterioration and neglect of character-“ausartung” and terribleness in the reflecting and striving of the human being, as this is still being extremely carried on after the end of the last world war 1939– 1945 until the current time.

Car adhesive

Sizes of the adhesives:

| | | |
|------------|-------|------|
| 120x120 mm | = CHF | 3.– |
| 250x250 mm | = CHF | 6.– |
| 300x300 mm | = CHF | 12.– |

Orders against prepayment:

FIGU
Hinterschmidrüti 1225
8495 Schmidrüti
Switzerland

E-mail, WEB, Tel:

info@figu.org
www.figu.org
Tel. 052 385 13 10
fax 052 385 42 89

IMPRINT

FIGU-TIMESIGNS AND FIGU-SPECIAL-TIMESIGNS

Printing and publishing: FIGU Wassermannzeit-Verlag,

Semjase Silver Star Center, Hinterschmidrüti 1225, 8495 Schmidrüti, Switzerland

FIGU-ZEITZEICHEN appears twice a month; **FIGU-Sonder-ZEITZEICHEN** appears sporadically Is also

published on the Internet, on the FIGU website: www.figu.org/ch

Editor: BEAM 'Billy' Eduard Albert Meier /././ **Telephone** +41(0)52 38513 10 (7.00 h - 19.00 h) / **Fax** +41(0)52 385 42 89

Postal cheque account: PC 80-13703-3 FIGU Free Interest Group, 8495 Schmidrüti, Switzerland

IBAN: CH06 0900 0000 8001 3703-3,


For CHF/EURO 10 in an envelope, send

**we offer you 3 pieces of colored peace glue
of the size 120x120 mm = stick on the car.**

E-Letter: info@figu.org
Internet: www.figu.org
FIGU Shop: http://shop.figu.org



© FIGU 2020
Some rights reserved. This work is
licensed, unless otherwise noted, under :
www.figu.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/ch/



Spiritual Teaching Peace Symbol

Peace

True peace on earth among the world's population can only be achieved when every reasonable and sensible human being finally takes the first non-violent step towards it, and then, in peaceableness, takes every further step, deliberately and consciously, until the last consequence of becoming peaceful is achieved.

SSSC, 10 September 2018, 4.43 pm, Billy

Non-commercial use is therefore expressly permitted without further permission from the author.

Published by Wassermannzeit-Verlag: FIGU, 'Freie Interessengemeinschaft

Universell', Semjase-Silver-Star-Center, Hinterschmidrüti 1225, 8495

Schmidrüti ZH, Switzerland