



FIGU - TIMES

News - Insights - Findings



Frequency of publication:

Internet: <http://www.figu.org>

6th vintage

Twice a month

E-Letter: info@figu.org

No. 136, Feb./2 2020

organ for free, politically independent views and opinions on world affairs

According to the 'Universal Declaration of Human Rights', proclaimed by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 10 December 1948, there is a general 'freedom of opinion and information', and this absolute right applies worldwide and absolutely to every single human being, male or female, of any age and race, of any social standing, as well as in respect of righteous views, ideas and beliefs of any philosophy, religion, ideology and belief:

Art. 19 Human rights

Everyone has the right to freedom of expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers.



Views, statements, representations, beliefs, ideas, opinions and ideologies of any kind in treatises, articles and readers' letters, etc., do not necessarily have to be identical in any way with the thoughts and interests, with 'doctrine of truth, doctrine of the spirit, doctrine of life', or in any way connected with the missionary goods and the habitus of FIGU.

For all contributions and articles published in the FIGU-Zeitzeichen and other FIGU periodicals, the

FIGU about the necessary written permissions of the authors or the media concerned!

***** S

In response to a frequently expressed wish from the readers of Zeitzeichen, the time signs are to be used for orientation of the Recipients will be provided with excerpts of various important issues from the latest contact meeting reports, as well as old and new facts concerning worldwide controversies maliciously conducted with lies, fraud, slander and assassination attempts against BEAM.

Damage in the overhead line

The failure of Deutsche Bahn is intended and made.

Exclusive print from "Damage in the overhead line".

by Arno Luik



Friday, 06 September 2019, 15:00 h~6 minutes Reading time

Photo: S-F/Shutterstock.com

The Deutsche Bahn disaster is no accident. There are perpetrators. You sit in the federal government, in the Bundestag. And for years in the tower of the Deutsche Bahn. Arno Luik, long-time Stern author and one of the most distinguished railway experts in this country, shows the complete disaster in detail in his book "Schaden in der Oberleitung". We pump more than 10 billion taxpayers into Deutsche Bahn every year - for which it then operates in big business in 140 countries around the world. But in this country, the railways are a real imposition: the trains run increasingly unpunctual, often they do not run at all and sometimes they are a risk for our lives.

In 2005, the then head of Deutsche Bahn, Hartmut Mehdorn, promised: "In the next few years, special attention will be paid to the embellishment of the smaller stations", for which the federal government will make 50 million euros available in the coming years.

And what has come of it? For example in the small station in Königsbronn, my Heimat village? Everything here is just dreary, filthy, sprayed with graffiti, the platform is spat on, dirty, cigarette butts everywhere. The ticket vending machine is in a draughty glass crate, in sunshine you can hardly see the buttons, in winter you have to scrape the control panel free of ice, if it rains you get wet, often the machine does not work.

A few years ago, I met Hartmut Mehdorn, the head of the railway company, for an interview, and I told him that my 80-year-old mother could no longer take the train from Königsbronn to the nearby district town because she did not know how to operate the machine and did not dare to drive black. "She doesn't have to," growled the railway boss, "she can order her ticket on the Internet!"

This rotten station - there are now thousands of its kind in Germany - is not just a rotten station. He is a symbol. It stands, pars per tote, for the condition of the entire country. For the state to withdraw from its duty of care.

The train station, the post office disappear - places of encounter where you meet living people who talk to each other. Gone. This state-imposed neglect says a lot about this country. How the responsible persons in Berlin treat their citizens, in political jargon "the people out in the country". Raw. Cold.

Anyone who waits for a train in such a raw place in winter must be hardened and patient, anyone who waits for a train in such a cold place where everything is shabby, rotten, and often does not come at all, will feel very concretely what the rulers at the railroad and the politicians in Berlin think of him. Frighteningly little.

He knows: I am a hanged man and I should feel this calmly. It is only a short step from this feeling of homelessness to disenchantment with politics. Just a little jump to AfD and the call: "You make me sick, all of you, all of you politicians there in Berlin, but really all of you!"

This little station, he also says that the railway doesn't really want you to take the train.

One of the triggers to write this book was a laughing fit. It was in January on the journey from Königsbronn to Ulm, on the Brenztal line. At the stop in the district town of Heidenheim, the loudspeakers screeched, the train chief called to say so in broad Swabian:

"You've probably already noticed that our bathrooms are broken. I don't know why that is. But there's a train on track 3, that's where the toilets work. So if you absolutely must - go through the underpass, we'll be waiting for you!"

After all, it has become a popular sport to sneer, mock and laugh at the track.

In former times, in the GDR, the citizens mocked their run-down Reichsbahn in this way: It has four enemies - spring, summer, autumn and winter. And this, and precisely this, has also been true for the Bahn AG for some years now. Like the GDR-Reichsbahn in the past, it now runs on wear and tear.

And it has other, extremely powerful enemies: the railway bosses and their transport ministers.

According to the Basic Law, the railway is a special company - it has a clear, constitutionally prescribed mission: to provide citizens with a cheap means of transport. Any citizen, anywhere. The railway is supposed to act "for the public good", according to paragraph 87 of the Basic Law. And it should - also for ecological reasons - ensure that more passenger and above all more freight traffic gets on and off the rails. This is what politicians have been saying for many years, for decades.

Neither works. The railway fails in both cases. It is absurd, the Frankfurter Allgemeine Sonntagszeitung noted, "when a corporation that is 100 percent state-owned does not care about the laws of the state". Deutsche Bahn has become independent. It has become a state within a state - also with the active help of many politicians.

The railroad does what it wants. No, by the way: this is not a polemic.

A lot of questions arise: How could it happen that this state-owned company got so out of hand? The tax payer, who receives well over ten billion euros in taxpayers' money every year - but has to pay his citizens

to the actual owners of this railroad, even worse: even ruthless-less towards them? Who, for cost reasons, saves at railway barriers - and thus accepts dead bodies. Which saves on announcements on platforms for cost reasons - and thus accepts deaths.

Which, as the Federal Court of Auditors criticised unusually harshly in January 2019, did not achieve any of the goals that were to be achieved with the 1993/94 rail reform - i.e. the abolition of the German Federal Railway - such as the expansion and maintenance of the rail network to bring more passenger and freight traffic onto the rails, financial consolidation.

Who instead operates in over 140 countries, just like that, no government has commissioned him to do so, but this imperial megalomania brings nothing to the citizens of this country - except disintegration and anger. It is so badly run economically that, in order to somehow keep the traffic going, it constantly calls for more state funding. And it gets it - without having to change the disastrous strategy that has led to the company being in debt to the tune of over 20 billion euros. is basically broke.

But he pays his bosses, executive and supervisory board members high salaries in the millions, even though they have been acting irresponsibly for decades and violating stock corporation law - actually a case for the courts.

Instead, this company is allowed to continue - unmoved and so far unpunished - to squander billions of euros on gigantic and unnecessary large-scale projects, such as Stuttgart 21, Munich's second main line and Hamburg-Diebsteich - all incredibly expensive megaprojects that will obstruct traffic and annoy travellers, but only please the concrete, steel and tunnel boring machine companies.

A state-owned company that, as undaunted as it is cheeky, consistently counteracts the politically official mantra of the state, according to which more traffic should go by rail - which for decades has been tearing up rails, dismantling switches, shutting down stations, letting the infrastructure go to rack and ruin, which, it must be said, is obviously making a great effort in Germany as a car country to hinder rail traffic, no, to destroy it permanently.

Is that a coincidence in this car country? Maybe. Or maybe not?

It is probably no coincidence that especially in Stuttgart, the world capital of the car, the railway as an alternative to the car is to be buried completely underground, in other words, de facto. S21 has long since become a cipher for the structural insanity of the railways: how over-ambitious railway managers and ignorant politicians want to set themselves an incredibly expensive monument. At the expense of rail transport. At the expense of the citizens. At the cost of safety. At the expense of the environment. At S21 you can find everything that destroys rail traffic. S21 is the milestone in the decline of the railway as a rational enterprise.

How on earth did all this happen? How could the Deutsche Bahn, Germany's largest state-owned company, be so rotten? For this railway disaster there are those responsible, there are perpetrators. It's time to take on the perpetrators.

Source: <https://www.rubikon.news/artikel/schaden-in-der-oberleitung>

Survey: majority of citizens fear division - Loss of German culture more strongly perceived

Epoch Times5. September 2019 Updated: September 6, 2019 10:42

Is society breaking up? Is German culture disappearing? Are we dying of climate death? And what are the politicians doing about it? The population is very insecure in these times. What will happen next?

The vast majority of German citizens are concerned about the drifting apart of social groups. On Thursday, the opinion research institute Infratest dimap published the results of a survey commissioned by "ARD-Tagesthemen".

They wanted to know:

1. Are you worried that the social groups are drifting further apart?
2. Are you worried that climate change is destroying our livelihoods?
3. Are you concerned that the parties do not find common answers to pressing political questions?
4. Are you worried that we will experience a loss of German culture and language?
5. Are you worried that you will have money problems in your old age?
6. Are you worried that you will not be able to maintain your standard of living?

How did the 1014 German citizens who were questioned representatively on 3 and 4 September 2019 respond?

The division of the company

The majority of those surveyed are concerned about the division of society in Germany, and the majority of those surveyed are very concerned or very concerned across party lines. The danger that social groups will continue to drift apart makes 83 percent of German citizens feel insecure. Compared to May, an increase of 8 percentage points was recorded.

Climate and politics

Great fears are also spreading among the population because of the often invoked climate change. More than three-quarters (76 percent) are very or very worried that it will destroy people's livelihoods (-1 percentage point compared to May). Among AfD supporters, the issue is seen as divided. According to Infratest dimap, 47 percent of the opposition party are very or very worried about the consequences of climate change, 53 percent have little or no worries.

The supporters of all parties are largely in agreement on another question: 74 percent of those surveyed are very or very worried that the parties will not find common answers to pressing political questions. Supporters of the governing parties CDU/CSU (67 percent) and SPD (70 percent) also share this concern to more than two thirds.

Growing awareness of the loss of culture

Currently, 52 percent of those surveyed are very or very worried about experiencing a loss of German culture and language. According to this figure, one might think that it is not the most important issue at the moment. However, more and more people seem to notice this underestimated danger. Compared to the May survey, the worries have currently increased by 12 percentage points. But this concern is not present in all directions:

The majority of CDU/CSU supporters (58 percent) are concerned about this point. AfD supporters (92 percent) express the greatest concern in this context. According to Infratest dimap, the majority of the supporters of the other parties have little or no concern in this regard.

living standards concerns

Personal economic concerns are comparatively less important for German citizens. For example, the number of those who are worried that they will not be able to maintain their standard of living in the future has risen from 30 to 38 percent since May.

Germans share long-term financial worries such as their own old-age provision to an even greater extent: 43 per cent expect money problems in old age, and more than half of the 18 to 49-year-olds expect to have money problems. (dts/red)

Source: <https://www.epochtimes.de/politik/deutschland/umfrage-mehrheit-der-buerger-befuerchtet-spaltung-verlust-deutscher-kultur-staerker-wahrgenommen-a2992906.html>

Hungarian ambassador protests against anti-Hungarian propaganda of the ZDF

Author Vera Lengsfeld, Published on 6 September 2019

It is very rare for an ambassador to make a public statement on events in the country in which he is accredited. The Hungarian ambassador Dr. Péter Györkös now felt compelled to take this unusual step. ZDF has crossed a red line with its so-called docudrama: "Hours of Decision", broadcast on Wednesday, September 4, about the refugee crisis in 2015 and Angela Merkel's role in it. The many contained false allegations about Hungary and its head of government Victor Orban have prompted the ambassador to write a letter to Dr. Peter Frey, the chief editor of the Second German Television. The alleged docudrama has little to do with serious journalism, but seems like a piece of Cold War enemy propaganda. Only this time the reviled country is not part of a hostile bloc, but a member of the EU, which the ZDF assures us again and again that it is a peace project. What false assertions about a partner country and its politicians have to do with peace remains a secret of ZDF. For the sake of peace, an apology and the removal of this piece of propaganda from the media counter would be the least we could do. Following the axis of good, we also document the ambassador's letter:

Dear Intendant,

On September 4, 2019, ZDF broadcast a "docudrama" about the events of four years ago at prime time. There is no doubt that the subject is of particular public interest (not only) in Germany.

interest. While respecting the written and unwritten rules of my profession and the due respect for German citizens and politicians, I do not publicly comment on the related internal debates. I merely follow them and of course I report on them in an appropriate form to my capital.

Now there were so many elements in the film mentioned that lacked objectivity and facts, and in the form of "recordings" a series of allusions to my country and its democratically elected prime minister, that I feel compelled to react to them. As for the passages and allusions that violate ethical and moral standards, I can only hope that the authors and makers can reconcile them with their conscience.

But I limit my sad frustration to the facts. The "Myth of the Buda-pest East Station" is not new. The assertion that everything started here and now and that Hungary is the source of all problems, which is also repeated in the film, is diametrically opposed to the simple geographical reality, the provisions of international and European law and the events of summer and autumn 2015. September 4 was one of many days in the migration crisis that has been going on for months. At that time, I myself, as Permanent Representative to the EU in Brussels, had already signalled to my colleagues weeks before that the number of illegal migrants arriving daily already exceeded the tens of thousands mark. Knowledge and understanding of the situation is hardly more evident than the fact that on 19 August, two weeks before the "March of Hope" began, the Federal Ministry of the Interior corrected the number of immigrants expected to arrive by the end of the year to 800,000, i.e. twice the estimate that had been in effect until then. In the end - if I'm not mistaken - it came out to 890,000.

We should also mention the BAMF tweet of 25 August on the suspension of the application of the Dublin Regulations, which certainly gave a new impetus to immigration. One should also consider the simple geographical fact that Budapest East Station is more than 1000 km from the external border of the EU and the Schengen area. If we consider international law (Art. 31 of the Geneva Convention) or European law (Schengen Border Code, Dublin Regulation), two things must be noted: The illegal immigrants passed through no less than five or six states on their way, where their lives were not in danger, i.e. where they were no longer refugees. In addition, they deliberately ignored all Dublin and Schengen regulations, because they knew where they wanted to go.

Hungary has taken major material, political and moral risks in its decision to enforce European regulations. We expected neither thanks nor recognition, for which we received daily unfounded criticism and moral teachings. Four years have passed since then, things have slowly moved back towards the observance of law and order, we are gradually succeeding in reconciling order and humanity, but the propaganda, which is out of touch with reality and, from case to case, borders on defamation, does not stop.

In any case, I can only offer again and again that I will provide the ZDF and others with public-interest broadcasting

legal or private media in case of interest in the facts or the Hungarian position of any is currently readily available. It's time, instead of smear campaigns and fictions to disregard the geographical reality and present it as a truth, to read the facts.

Sr. My professional career has meant that I have had to deal with the events of both 1989 (then as the officer responsible for the GDR in the Hungarian Foreign Ministry) as well as in the summer and Autumn 2015 (initially as permanent representative to the EU, then from October 2015 as ambassador to the EU in Ber-

lin) from close proximity. The first stone from the wall that imprisoned their own people- the Hungarians have beaten out the Hungarians. 2015 Hungary has committed itself to compliance and enforcement of European law and for the protection of the way of life and the economic model, which the basis of the EU, and the internal market protected by Schengen, and the

illegal flow across the green border has been halted. the foundation and natural trait of our common- and our cooperation is that in some cases, we have to make the world a different place

Perspective and through a different point of view. However, situations like these can only be clarified on the basis of respect for our counterpart and for the facts. The film you are showing does does not meet any of these criteria.

With kind regards

Dr. Péter Györkös

Source: <https://vera-lengsfeld.de/2019/09/06/ungarischer-botschafter-protetstiert-gegen-ungarnfeindliche-propaganda-des-zdf/>



**The truth about the Norwegian geologists who have discovered
behind the Bermuda Triangle**

Published on August 31, 2019 in Science 1881 views

Scientists at the University of Tromsø apparently found that methane gas explosions are related to the mystery of the unusually high number of missing ships, planes and people in the Bermuda Triangle - the area in the Atlantic Ocean bordered by Bermuda, Puerto Rico and Miami (Florida) and often called the "Devil's Triangle".

Scientists believe that off the coast of naturally gas-rich Norway, deposits of oil and methane gas deep in the sea floor have exploded through the seabed, forming giant underwater craters - they have discovered craters ranging in size from half a mile [about 800 metres] in extent and 150 feet [about 45 metres] deep. They suspect that the huge methane outbursts could stir up the water and pose a potential threat to ships or escape into the atmosphere, which could pose a potential hazard to aircraft.

"Several gigantic craters exist on the sea floor, in an area in the west-central Barents Sea...and are probably a reason for enormous gas eruptions. The crater area is arguably one of the biggest methane leakage hotspots in the Arctic," scientists from the University of Tromsø told *The Sunday Times*.

The riddle behind the solution of the riddle

Although further details of the results will be published at the annual meeting of the *European Geosciences Union* in April, the preliminary summary from Tromsø University caused some excitement, which led co-author Karin Andreassen to publish the following statement:

We have discovered many large craters on the sea floor in the central Barents Sea. Analyses suggest that methane gas eruptions once formed these craters when the ice retreated after the last ice age. We still have to publish these results, so they are only preliminary. What I can say is that we have no connection whatsoever with the Bermuda Triangle.

The craters are gigantic, up to one kilometre wide and 30 metres deep, and they are proof that gas eruptions have occurred on the sea floor. The eruptions can be associated with the thawing of gas hydrates, which is methane in the form of ice that occurs below the sea floor after the last ice age has ended. But the conditions during the last ice age cannot be compared with those of today.

The "proof"

The scientists have refrained from making a connection with the Bermuda Triangle, but the idea is not new. The theory was originally established in 2014 by the Russian scientist Igor Yeltsov of the *Trofimuk Institute of Petroleum and Natural Gas Geology and Geophysics*:

There is a theory that the Bermuda Triangle is caused by gas hydrates. They begin to actively disintegrate by turning methane ice into gas. It happens in an avalanche-like manner, like a nuclear reactor, through which huge amounts of gas are released. This causes the sea to heat up and ships to sink in waters that are permeated by large quantities of gas. This causes the air to be overloaded with methane, creating an extremely turbulent atmosphere that causes airplane crashes.

National Geographic also reported in 2015 that "methane can escape into the air, causing turbulence in the atmosphere and possibly crashing an airplane. *International Business Times* also writes:

The area also known as the "Devil's Triangle" is an area in the western North Atlantic Ocean bordered by Bermuda, Puerto Rico and a point near Melbourne (Florida) where numerous ships and airplanes have mysteriously disappeared for a long time. The bedrock of these seas has many magnetic anomalies that can lead to false readings on compasses. The deposits of frozen methane gas can explode in the form of huge eruptions - methane outbursts - which are even capable of sinking large ships. These waters are also susceptible to waterspouts, which are eddies that suck water from the sea into the clouds. The winds of these waterspouts can reach speeds of more than 190 km/h and are commonly found during the summer in the humid air and warm waters of Florida, where there are probably 400-500 waterspouts per year.

There have been a number of conspiracy theories about the Bermuda Triangle, but not many are convinced. John Reilly, a historian from the US Naval Historical Foundation, told *National Geographic* The region is widely travelled and has been frequently crossed since the early days of European discoveries. To say that some ships and planes have gone down there is like saying that there is a huge amount of car accidents on the New Jersey highway - surprise, surprise.

The US climate authority also writes

Environmental considerations could explain many, if not most, of the disappearances. The majority of Atlantic tropical storms and cyclones cross the Bermuda Triangle, and at the time when weather forecasts were not so accurate, many ships fell victim to these dangerous storms. The Gulf Stream can also cause rapid and sometimes violent changes in weather. In addition, the large number of islands in the Caribbean Sea is one reason why there are many areas of shallow water that can be deceptive for ship navigation. And there is some evidence that the Bermuda Triangle is a place where "magnetic" compasses sometimes point towards the "geographic" north, as opposed to the "magnetic" north.

*The sea has always been a mysterious place for people, and if bad weather or inadequate navigation is added to this, it can be a very dangerous place. This is the case all over the world. There is **no evidence that mysterious disappearances occur with any greater frequency in the Bermuda Triangle than in any other much-travelled marine area.***

Blame Methane Blasts for Sea Craters, But Not for the Bermuda Triangle

<https://t.co/7gJALp8OWwpic.twitter.com/ymUxUYw5ru> — Live Science

(@LiveScience) March 16, 2016

Tweet: "Blame methane outbreaks on sea craters, but not the Bermuda Triangle."

References:

- http://www.thesundaytimes.co.uk/sto/news/uk_news/Science/article1677701.ece?CMP=OTH-gnws-standard-2016_03_12
- <http://meetingorganizer.copernicus.org/EGU2016/EGU2016-14375.pdf>
- <https://cage.uit.no/news/craters-in-barents-sea-not-connected-to-bermuda-triangle/>
- <http://siberiantimes.com/science/casestudy/news/siberian-exploding-holes-are-the-key-to-bermuda-triangle-scientists/>
- <http://www.ibtimes.co.uk/bermuda-triangle-mystery-solved-by-enormous-gas-blowouts-ocean-floor-1549223>
- <http://www.livescience.com/23435-bermuda-triangle.html>
- <http://news.nationalgeographic.com/2016/03/160315-norway-craters-methane-hydrates-bermuda-triangle-science/>
- <http://oceanservice.noaa.gov/facts/bermudatri.html>
- <https://twitter.com/LiveScience/status/710179837323780096>
- Source: <https://derwaechter.org/die-wahrheit-uber-die-norwegischen-geologen-die-das-geheimnis-hinter-dem-bermudadriereck-entdeckten>

FIGU information on this...

... from FIGU Special Bulletin No. 57

Another proof - this time from the 'Bermuda Triangle'. On the occasion of the 229th contact, on 31 July 1989, Billy and Quetzal spoke about the 'mysterious' incidents in the Bermuda Triangle. Quetzal explained, for example, that the methane hydrate bound in large quantities on the sea floor is largely responsible for the disappearance or sinking of human means of transport. When the frozen gas dissolves and rises to the sea surface as a huge gas bubble, then

the water and air lose their carrying capacity, with corresponding consequences for the ships and aircraft in the area concerned. Now scientists have established, confirmed and published exactly this fact, as Michael Horn writes on his website in August 2010 (http://theyfly.com/Bermuda_Triangle.html). Australian and US-American scientists are now - 21 years later! - has reached the same conclusions.

(<http://salemnews.com/articles/august062010/bermuda-triangle-ta.php>).

Speaking of Michael Horn: Since the beginning of 2004, he has been 'Billy' Eduard A. Meier's official media representative in the English-speaking world, and in this capacity he has since then tirelessly promoted the Billy Meier case and the FIGU mission around the globe, via his website, through multimedia and countless radio and TV interviews, etc. Since the beginning of summer 2010 he has his own 'radio show' at his disposal. Once a week, for one hour, with a lot of humour and illustrative examples, he conveys stimulating and interesting facts from the spiritual teachings and background knowledge connected with Billy and the FIGU. To listen to him, I can only recommend to all people who are able to speak English. It's worth it.

<http://pwmradio.com/categories/conversation-radio-episodes/the-michael-horn-show/>

At this point I would like to thank Michael Horn for his invaluable contribution to the support of our, Billy's and the FIGU mission. 25.8.2010, Christian Frehner, Switzerland

...and from FIGU Special Bulletin No. 59

Billy Thanks. Then there is something concerning methane or methane hydrate, which we have already discussed privately, but about which I think that a few words should be said in an official contact report.

Like your father Sfath and Quetzal, you also explained to me that in the bottom of all seas of the world there are enormous quantities of bound methane gas or methane hydrate, much more than all petroleum, natural gas and all coal together. Some of this methane gas is constantly 'freeing' itself and rising through the water, which also influences the climate. When large quantities of methane are released and rise above the water surface, ships can lose their grip on the water and sink to the bottom within seconds. Airplanes can also crash and disappear into the sea when large clouds of methane gas rise, such as in the Bermuda Triangle and in the Japanese Devil's Sea, where ships and planes disappear again and again. Now, however, the idea is being fiddled around with that the methane hydrate should be exploited industrially and made to benefit mankind. However, I see a danger for the ecosystem on the sea floor etc., because as Quetzal explained to me during our dive into the deep sea, various organisms and different animals use the methane gas dissolving from the bottom, such as white ground crabs and tube worms etc., as I could see for myself. Through his observation devices he also made it visible to me how certain bacteria absorbed deadly arsenic, explaining that these microorganisms needed the poison to live. Other bacteria, in turn, took up sulphur, as did other small white creatures that lived at 'black vents' and sulphur emitting sites. Also in the wild he showed me with his magnifying observation devices, a kind of electron microscope, a number of poisonous plants, whose poisons were used by bacteria, but also animals and all kinds of creatures. Light and heavy metals and gases other than methane also provide food for other types of bacteria, as he taught me and partly let me see this fact through his apparatus. But back to the industrial mining of methane hydrate; this is causing enormous damage to the ecosystem on the sea floor, because there is another danger, namely that the oceans will continue to warm up through human fault, with overpopulation doing everything possible to ensure that sea warming progresses rapidly. At a certain temperature of the oceans, the methane hydrate inevitably begins to dissolve in the seabed, penetrates to the surface and escapes into the atmosphere, which inevitably leads to an increase in the greenhouse effect and also affects the oxygen content of the atmosphere. The hammer here, as with all environmental damage, environmental destruction, climate change and the general destruction of nature, is that man himself is to blame for everything and is therefore responsible in every respect for all the catastrophic conditions that have arisen on earth in recent decades.

The main blame lies in the massive overpopulation that has been and continues to be bred. These alone have destroyed nature and the climate, exploited the earth's resources, created new epidemics, and made the human habitat incredibly narrow, causing it to accumulate in great masses and even in millions in cities, where, due to the narrowness, everyone treads on each other's toes. And where there are such crowds, there is a great deal going on

affects life in many ways, with much leading to crime and delinquency, as well as many diseases. The great mass of humanity also holds within itself that people are becoming more and more physically, mentally, emotionally and therefore also psychologically weak and unable to live, and consequently their susceptibility to serious illnesses is constantly increasing, as is the miserable social behaviour, which is generally becoming more and more widespread and evident. The fact that a great many people, mainly children and young people, no longer know what to do with their free time, are not brought up properly, are afraid of work and consequently become criminals and criminals, also plays a role. In the process, respect for life is also lost, which leads to innocent people being beaten up, beaten to death and kicked to death or otherwise killed in cold blood. In all of this, the fact that people only live side by side and no longer maintain true interpersonal relationships also plays a role. Very often only illusory relationships with illusory friendships exist, without any real connection in honour and dignity to the fellow human being. Countless people no longer live with others on the same level and no longer with each other, but only thoughtlessly and without compassion and indifferently side by side, so that the next person can die a miserable death without a finger of help being lifted for him. In this way, anti-social gangs are formed in which people join together to form groups and organizations, not only young people but also adults, such as the terrorists, the Ku Klux Klan, neo-Nazis of all kinds and other extreme anti-social and anti-racial groups and organizations. However, neither mankind itself nor scientists, officials, or those in government want to know anything about all these facts. And it is simply not understood that the very worst can only be prevented by radically reducing overpopulation, which can only be achieved by a worldwide regulated and state-controlled birth stop. But to bring such a worldwide valid regulation into being, for that all mentioned are too cowardly and too stupid and stupid. Those who sit at the helm of science and government want to keep their posts and therefore, in their cowardice, do not dare to bring forth appropriate measures for birth control.

But with man in general the circumstance is given that a tremendous irresponsibility prevails, out of which he believes to have a right to be allowed to indiscriminately give birth to descendants, namely without consideration of losses resp. of the fact that nature and the climate are being destroyed more and more inexorably and slowly but surely and all animal and creaturely forms of life are finally being exterminated faster and faster. The majority of earthly humanity does not think or feel anything about all these facts, let alone have a conscience, which is why sooner or later nature itself will probably resort to a means of reducing humanity, with epidemics or other means at its disposal. But maybe the prophesied meteor or comet thunders onto the earth and wipes out most of humanity, or maybe the man of the earth, in his irresponsibility and madness, finally triggers a worldwide war, through which he drastically reduces himself. And when I consider that at present there is once again the danger of an arms race between the world powers, because the USA is now building its confounded missile defence shield in northern Europe after all, and perhaps does not want to include Russia in this, then a global war could become a reality in the future after all. Let us only hope that everything will turn out for the best and that reason will be applied all around, both in the USA and Russia and in all those crisis countries that so enjoy playing with threats and war, that have atomic bombs or are developing them now or will develop them in the future. The sheer intelligence, the intellect and the reason of the government concerned are required, as well as the sense of true love, freedom, peace and harmony in every conceivable necessary relationship. I think that these abilities are the property of US President Barack Obama, and I think that I can also trust them in Russian President Medvedev, so that these two should be brought together, even if there are still a few things to be clarified and settled between the two states, which should be possible with a little goodwill. Since Mikhail Gorbachev's work, a lot has happened that a good and reasonable step between Russia and the USA should be possible, also with regard to the missile defence shield in Northern Europe. If this can be achieved, then at least for once real peace between these two countries will no longer be an unattainable illusion and can slowly and finally become a reality when fear of each other and hostility disappear.

Ptaah What you say is in accordance with our knowledge, and indeed all these things are connected. I don't think it's necessary to say any more than that.

"crime profiteers" are multiplying



Ulrich Schlüer, Publishing Director "Schweizerzeit"
Published on 6 September 2019



Alarming increase in violent crime
Violence against women is increasing on a more than worrying scale.
Corresponding headlines dominate all media.

We do not doubt the correctness of this frightening development in any way. We, the "Schweizerzeit", the SVP, the Auns and sifa have been documenting this development for years. And for years we have been strongly criticising the laissez-faire attitude towards this spread of violence.

One fact is concealed

What is striking about the striking media reports, however, is that the question of who is the source of this increasingly brutal violence in public and especially towards women - this question is systematically ignored by almost all media using almost blatant care.

If you ask the same questions to police officers who have been or are involved in operations, you will certainly get clear answers - even if only behind closed doors and after a binding promise to refrain from naming the informant. The generally striking, even drastically increasing use of violence against women is clearly presented in such answers as an aspect of unbridled, unchecked mass immigration into our country.

Intentional concealment of facts

Those who deliberately fail to implement the article against mass immigration enshrined in the Federal Constitution on 9 February 2014 are at the very least accomplices in the increase in violence; they are to blame for the fact that perpetrators of violence, especially those against women, are multiplying in our country in increasing numbers.

It is interesting to note that those who notoriously ignore the origins of the perpetrators of violence nevertheless provide certain recipes for dealing with this frightening development.

Expulsion or administration

The recipes consistently assume that violence, especially violence against women, is almost exclusively committed by men. All the more shrill, Left-Green wants to take up the fight against the men: Those men who have been identified as perpetrators of violence or who are suspected of having used violence must from now on - at the expense of the state - be subjected to "round-the-clock surveillance". No rejection at the borders, no expulsion is necessary. Administration of violence and sinful monitoring of suspected perpetrators of violence: that is the recipe.

Should surveillance of violence make it possible to create new functional apparatuses in which Left-Green voters believe they can accommodate their clientele? Violence surveillance as a lucrative political business of the left, which thus mutates into violent profiteers? That's all we need! Anyone who wants to create offices for monitoring violence must ultimately justify their existence. Violence surveillance only flourishes if violence still occurs - so that surveillance measures are also approved. Because where violence is exterminated or avoided as far as possible, not even leftists believe that they will be able to push through demands for the administration of violence, registration of violence and bureaucratization of violence by new hosts of functionaries. Whoever wants to be a successful left-wing violent profiteer must therefore preserve the violence problem in our country. This is the only way to justify the establishment of functional apparatuses to monitor perpetrators of violence. Mass immigration and the import of violence become the basis of a left-wing business. That is why leftists are fighting with all the forces at their disposal against the expulsion of violent criminals.

If perpetrators of violence were consistently expelled, if perpetrators of violence were deterred from the outset due to strict controls at our national borders, then the business idea of the violent profiteers could not develop at all.

If someone in our country were to think of comparing Switzerland to a healthy, red-cheeked, cheeky apple, he would probably also realize, in view of the violent winners, that this red-cheeked apple has already become unmistakably worm-eaten.

Ulrich Schläuer. Source: <https://schweizerzeit.ch/verbrechens-gewinnler-vermehren-sich/>

Here are short excerpts from predictions that BEAM had already made in 1949, which were then translated into English by Pastor Zimmermann. These were then sent to all the major media of the time in Switzerland, the whole of Europe and, of course, Great Britain, the USA, Canada and many other countries around the world.

The future of the earth looks bad, because mankind will do all evil and question its own survival ...

13 and 14 May 1949, Eduard Meier, Niederflachs 1253, Bülach, Canton Zurich
(All forecasts in this regard available as two (2) A5 brochures from FIGU)

... .. It will also be inevitable that the dictatorship (EU dictatorship) will lead to dictatorial mismanagement and financial exploitation of the dictatorial member states and result in the member states falling into substantial debt. In addition, the dictatorial mismanagement and the tremendous growth of the world's population will lead to an unprecedented unemployment of millions of people throughout the dictatorship, which will cause crime and violent crimes to rise very rapidly in many ways. ...

... .. But all those in power who will be incapable of governing with a loud voice will outdo each other in inventing stupid and useless sham solutions, but in their stupidity and incapacity to govern they will not find the only right path to take at the present time when the streams of refugees will come. This woman will also be the cause of huge refugee flows into the dictatorial states of Europe, especially from

war zones in Arabia and from distressed states in Africa, with many of the refugees - many of whom will only pretend to be refugees - contributing greatly to the increase in crime and violent crime. This will inevitably lead - as was already the case in the NEOC empire - to a renewed spread of racial hatred, not only in Europe, but worldwide, but especially in the new Germany. ...

... ... a blatant emerging drug trade is causing a lot of trouble, as well as homicides and murders, extortion, human trafficking, kidnapping and robbery and all kinds of violence. Also, in the future, fornication will enter society as an official and taxable trade, while crime will continue to flourish, such as burglaries and kidnapping, and the extortion associated with them. ...

... ... whereby many criminals and offences and even violent crimes can hardly or no longer be solved and punished by the police and the courts. Consequently, in the coming times and in the third millennium, a widespread arms trade will lead to many of the righteous people obtaining firearms both legally and illegally and secretly, as many criminals and violent criminals will do. Especially in the new millennium, people's fear of the blatantly rising crime, violence and crimes will lead to many of the righteous populations in Germany, as well as in Switzerland, acquiring weapons. And this will be especially so in the future when a refugee system arises, which will be caused by a confused power-obsessed German ruler and will cause many deaths on the escape routes, both on land and on the Mediterranean Sea, which is to be crossed to Europe on unfit boats overcrowded with refugees. ...

... ... Among these (refugees) there will also be criminals, violent criminals and criminals, and consequently not only social problems, but also criminality, violence and crime on a large scale and on a constantly increasing scale will arise, which will then become increasingly difficult to deal with. But this will then also result in the spread of xenophobia, racial hatred and religious hatred, which will lead to serious problems and cause malicious hate-fanatics who will not only act demonstratively but also partly contemptuously and violently against legal and illegitimate refugees. ...

... ... As a rule the state leaders will lack the will to do something about the growing crime, violence and criminality because of their cowardly fear of the death threats directed at them, but in many cases they will also lack the legal possibilities. Consequently, lawlessness will continue to increase, especially through the manipulations of the dictatorship (EU dictatorship), which will be incubated more and more in the dictatorship headquarters in Belgium, driving the follower countries deeper and deeper into slavery and the peoples to dissatisfaction. ... etc.

**Take your time
Take the time to take life
and enjoy it for the best
of your existence.**

SSSC, 6 January 2011

15.15 H, Billy

When conspiracy theories come true - Today: mostly young, male economic migrants

14:14 06.09.2019 (updated 16:16 06.09.2019)

ZDF-Info has published the documentary "Migration - The Big Misunderstanding - False Hopes and Impudent Lies". It essentially confirms what was said by critics during the refugee crisis, but for which they were reviled. Nevertheless, even this documentary avoids naming the actual cause of migration.

Only those who write this documentary will be amazed to find that in the 45 minutes or so it confirms almost all the relevant points of criticism that have been raised by many critics since the refugee crisis of 2015. These were and are mainly these positions:



- it's almost exclusively young men;
- the majority of migrants are economic refugees;
- the majority of them do not have the necessary qualifications for the German labour market and will place a burden on the social security systems;
- many only pretend to be war refugees because they know that this is the only way to prevent their asylum applications from being rejected immediately;
- many come with the most absurd ideas about Germany and their chances on the local labour market;
- many come with a completely different understanding of law, acceptance of brutal violence as a means conflict resolution and lack of respect for state institutions such as the police.

The amazement is mainly due to the fact that one of the two authors of this documentation can present a list of values which, with the best will in the world, does not show that he has adopted the positions listed here for a long time. But already after one minute and ten seconds the sentence falls:

"An exodus of young, able-bodied men, and Africa is watching."
Amazing explanations for migration problems on German television

The somewhat astonishing thesis for German television, according to which Africa is more or less idle in the current debate on migration and not Europe, will be substantiated later with further astonishing statements, which are seldom found in German television, especially in public television. First, however, OECD migration researcher Thomas Liebig says to the camera that European migration policy is characterised by two mistakes: "On the one hand, the issues of managed migration and refugees are very much intermingled. The second mistake is that all persons who came to Germany in 2015/2016 and applied for asylum are generally referred to as refugees, although only a **part of them are real refugees and have real needs for protection**. A considerable number of these people came to Germany for other reasons as well.

After fourteen and a half minutes, the Freiburg economist Bernd Raffelhüschen, who in response to the really asked question "why Africa lets so many young people who are able to work move", really explains that it is above all the considerable remittances of migrants to their home countries that are a cause of the great migration pressure in general and that of young, African men in particular:

"And of course many autocratic politicians in Africa have a vested interest in these remittances. Not us, that's for sure."

Are North African migrants suddenly tending to be more violent?

Somehow it doesn't sound like war refugee reasons. And what Boris Novak of the Berlin police union has to say also sounds somewhat different from the usual permanent admonitions not to lump them all together or to emphasize them. Nevertheless, Novak explains in relation to the drug scene in Berlin's Görlitz Park and beyond:

"Especially people from the North African area have less respect for policemen here in Germany, because their understanding of the law collides with ours, and that will be one of the reasons why especially people from the North African area attract attention again and again with considerable criminal offences and also do not allow themselves to be socialized."

This is further confirmed by figures in the documentation elsewhere. They are taken from the investigation report of the state parliament of North Rhine-Westphalia on the incidents on New Year's Eve 2015/2016 in downtown Cologne. According to the report, of the 299 suspects identified, 83 were from Morocco and 81 from Algeria, 98 were in Germany illegally, 96 were asylum seekers and 59 were rejected.

It is true after all:

Many pretend to be war refugees

But one of the undisputed highlights of this documentary is the appearance of the Ghanaian migration researcher Josef Kofi Teye from the Centre for Migration Research at the University of Ghana. He explains:

"Sometimes people claim to come from war zones because that is their only chance to get asylum. But these are highly qualified people, they have not fled from any war, they only want to work in Europe. This should be understood. The media, however, convey that everyone who comes from Africa is a refugee. That's not true, there are only a few. Most of the people displaced as a result of the war are in African camps; this is something people need to understand."

Let us repeat once again: Anyone who publicly uttered the sentences quoted here at the height of refugee movements in Germany in 2015 or 2016 had to expect to be insulted and defamed in the worst possible way. It would have been exemplary for this documentation, which was in principle exemplary because it was finally balanced once and for all, to apologize on behalf of the profession of journalism to all those who were sweepingly insulted as xenophobes, racists or Nazis because they doubted the dominant narratives in politics and the media at the time - with precisely those statements which are presented as a matter of course in this documentation.

However, this would not change the fact that this documentation, for a reason that is still in the dark, classifies migration according to economic utility criteria with a certain penetrance, the compelling logical consequence of which is only vaguely addressed at the very end. Already the position of former SPD leader and Federal Minister of Labor Andrea Nahles from 2016, quoted in the documentary, was treacherous:

"We estimate that 30 percent of the refugees also have usable degrees, university degrees, even certificates, which are usable."

Refugees must be "usable"

Refugees should therefore be "usable". Maybe someone should share this with the private sea rescuers? So far we have been taught that refugees should per se have a right to live permanently in Germany.

But it gets even better, because the aforementioned Bernd Raffelhüschen actually comes out at the end of the documentation with a position for which anyone else who does not hold a professorial title would very probably be ostracized by society. Our highlight number two of this documentary:

"One thing is clear: every million immigrants who are unqualified and not really young costs us between ten and twenty percent of the gross domestic product, for sure. So if we continue to fundamentally fail to agree on how to manage this immigration to Europe, on the basis of the criteria of young, fertile and suitably qualified people - then we will spend a large part of our economic strength on providing the social systems for these people."

For those of you who don't know: The word "fertile" means "fertile" and refers to the ability to procreate and give birth. To be on the safe side, it should be made clear that Bernd Raffelhüschen is not a member or scientific advisor of the AfD. So far, he has been used primarily as a mouthpiece for the workers

berverband Gesamtmetall and its scientific fig leaf of the IW Köln (Institute of the German Economy). In this context he is partly responsible for the dubious blessings of the Hartz legislation. But especially for **the drastic changes in the pension formula and the propagation of private pension insurance**. Once again, of course, there was no mention of this. Raffelhüschen could act as a "normal" economist.

**Immigration does not meet the needs of the population,
but those of the economy**

Against this background, it is striking in which key
the documentation is heading for Raffelhüschen:

"Unlike Canada and the USA, Germany has no immigration concept that is geared to the needs of an industrial nation with an ageing society.

We learn that immigration must not be geared to the needs of the population living there, but to the needs of industry. This industry has no interest in the migration debate finally addressing the fact that it is not the average consumer who is primarily responsible for the causes of flight and economic migration, but the political and corporate decisions of this very industry. For it is not the average consumer who decides to empty the sea of Senegalese fish and conclude unfair "free trade" agreements that are a one-way street for, for example, the highly subsidised EU agricultural lobby that threatens African farmers. It is not the average German consumer who sells weapons and ammunition to African countries or discreetly keeps accounts in European banks for the looted national assets of African citizens.

Perhaps this is the explanation for the fact that this documentation lists two key statements that are regularly identified in this country as **arguments of right-wing extremist circles**. But it is an African migration researcher and an African doctor and politician who declare that Africa has no right to blame the colonial period for the structural poverty on the continent and that corruption in African states is one of the main reasons for migration.

Change of direction in the argumentation? Africa is partly to blame for the escape?

We quote these statements verbatim because we dare to assume that this has not yet been broadcast in this clarity on German public television. First, there's Dr. Prince K. Afriyie from Ghana, who was trained as a surgeon in Munich, returned to his home country and has since been trying to get the health system in shape. In the documentary Afriyie goes hard into court with his fellow countrymen, generally with all Africans:

"After the war in Germany there was the Marshall Plan. The country was in ruins. Ghana has never seen the war that the Germans have seen. There was no war and no division in Ghana. So: What right do we Africans have to complain? Is it **because of the slave trade**? When was the slave trade ended? So what right do we have to say: Because we had the slave trade, we are poor? Maybe we are lazy, maybe we don't want to give up whatever we could do today to give our children a better future? Maybe that's why?"

The Ghanaian migration researcher Josef Kofi Teye, already mentioned above, joins in the same horn shortly afterwards:

"I have always said that African governments are responsible if we reduced corruption, for example, life would be relatively good for the people. I'm talking about her social environment. People also emigrate because they see things on television. A phenomenon that we call iconocopy of Europe, like golden streets and functioning water pipes and functioning electricity. You have a lot of corruption in Africa, in Africa the governments are very corrupt. If you want to focus on migration, we should not look to Europe, but ask ourselves what we are doing for our own people".

In the end, one is less surprised that the documentary describes with a certain ruthlessness a series of failed migration biographies that spread an unmistakable message: Better stay in your home countries, because you don't stand a chance in Germany.

The surprise is that the authors have named almost all the main **causes for the migration of poverty** in our day, but in the end they end up singing the song of the German industrial associations, which propagate a utilitarian racism or actually also have an eye on the reproductive abilities of immigrants. Of course, only to secure our social systems and to remedy the shortage of labour.

The social security systems are first and foremost in Germany itself threatened

But these are not the real causes of migration today and the problems it causes in Germany. The German social security systems are not so much threatened by falling birth rates of the German resident population. The German social security systems are threatened above all by an exploding low-wage world, because poverty wages naturally mean that less can be paid into the coffers. And these funds are also threatened not least by the fact that the top earners in this republic can legally withdraw from the solidarity-based financing of the security systems due to the anti-social contribution assessment ceiling.

The statistics have proven it for years. The majority of migrants in Germany do not **have "usable" education and training**. This documentation confirms it. The majority of migrants in Germany are shamelessly exploited in low-wage jobs, with correspondingly low or even complete non-payment of social security contributions. And the shortage of skilled workers also has to do with the fact that some German companies have become so profit-hungry that they save the costs of training the next generation of employees and instead scrounge up the education and training costs of other countries, which they complain about as a necessity for immigration because of the "shortage of skilled workers". The shortage of skilled workers in the nursing sector, for example, has to do primarily with the fact that German policy deliberately subordinates the health sector to the profit interests of a minority, which leads to savings in what is the essential element of this sector, namely people.

The fact that people in Africa leave their countries with the indelible vision that their life in Europe is better than in their homeland has to do above all with a fact that is only touched upon in the documentary: The high birth rate, especially in African countries, and the surplus of unemployed young men of marriageable age living in societies that build up a corresponding pressure of expectations, from which the young men cannot or do not want to escape, but which they cannot meet because the economic situation of their homeland brutally reveals to them their lack of prospects. But the enormous birth rate is not the fault of average German consumers.

One of the other main reasons for the migration pressure, particularly from Africa, which is based on the problem of unchecked population growth, is the completely unfair conditions of economic relations between the EU and African states, which make it impossible for these states to offer reasonably **attractive living conditions for their populations** and, above all, for young people willing to migrate.

Cause of flight number 1 is capitalism and the logic of profit, that poisons all areas of life

And these conditions listen to two magic words in Africa as well as in the EU and in Germany: globalisation and capitalism. The fact that neither of these two words is mentioned or thematized even once in this documentation is somehow significant. Thus this documentation also does what is the daily narrative in almost all media in this country: It is not social origins that are responsible, but individual people. We know what it's like. It is the credo of the Hartz legislation: every person is responsible for his or her own unemployment and must be punished for it. One of the propagandists of this neoliberal thinking had the documentation in his program. What has gotten into the other protagonists may become clearer at some point. This documentation is an eye- and ear-opener in any case.

Source: <https://de.sputniknews.com/gesellschaft/20190906325702984-zdf-doku-wirtschaftsmigranten/>

"breach of the open borders" a myth? - The methods of legal subcontractors of power

hwiludwig Published on September 11, 2019

Does injustice or justice prevail with regard to the open borders across which - not only since 2015 - millions of migrants and refugees are streaming into the country unchecked? Even though the holders of power and their legal assistants claim the law for themselves and have meanwhile created irreversible deeds, it is of great importance to be aware of the true legal situation and the means by which the advocates of power work to maintain the appearance of law.

In jurisprudence, there are essentially two opposing camps. On the one hand, it is older conservative professors and former constitutional judges who hold on to the condition that the Basic Law does not permit the transfer of essential elements of sovereignty of the German state to the EU and that German law takes precedence over EU norms in this respect. On the other hand,

act younger, career-conscious lawyers who are in agreement with the aspirations of the German and European political "elites" and provide argumentative support for the creation of an EU federal state in which the European states are to merge and lose their sovereignty under international law; they therefore strive to replace national law with EU law wherever possible.

One has to know and bear in mind this respective basic attitude in order to understand why the representatives of the two camps argue one way or another when interpreting ambiguous EU regulations. And the multi-layered, complicated EU law is often unclear and contradictory - one might think that there is an intention behind it. Individual standards often require interpretation, which must be obtained from the overall context of other EU regulations and competing national law. How to arrive at completely opposite results is shown below.

A left-wing polemic general settlement

Recently, two journalists, who are both lawyers, but only journalists, published a book in which they hold a major reckoning with the representatives of the thesis of lawbreaking and the rule of lawlessness. ¹ This thesis is not an objective finding of science, but a legend, a myth. She had "*drawn a path of radicalization through the German party system*". One had "*conjured up spirits*" which one soon could no longer control. Since 2015, they have not stopped "*pouring water over the mills of the AfD and the national populist right - mills that grind up what the prosecutors claim to be about, namely the rule of law*". It is - "*70 years after the adoption of the Basic Law - about the free and constitutional constitution of the country*".

This is where big guns are fired, but their bullets sound strange from the mouths of people whose legal opinion on mass immigration precisely overrides the country's constitution, confronts the "free" citizens with a fait accompli, and unquestioningly gives them social burdens, additional crime, non-integratable parallel societies, increasing loss of internal security and the foreseeable status of the minority in their own country.

The book is not a neat jurisprudential debate, but a continuous left-wing political polemic. Although the legal arguments of the other camp are dealt with in a certain way, they are never completely addressed, and the opposing legal scholars are always put in a personal, disparaging light. The usual method of mainstream journalism.

This is how Privatdozent Dr. habil. Ulrich Vosgerau, who coined the expression "the reign of injustice", is described by the authors as someone who is considered by experts to be an "*eccentric and ideologically over-excited outsider*", "*a strange oddball with views at the right end of the political spectrum*", an "*academically failed existence*". - From the outset, this personal discrediting - in "jurisprudential objectivity" - is intended to discredit his legal arguments.

The Schengen Agreement

Both camps agree that the Federal German legal situation will be transformed by EU regulations, i.e. that national laws, insofar as they contradict certain provisions of European law, are not applicable.

An important transformation is the Schengen Agreement, according to which no more systematic border controls are to be carried out at the internal borders of the EU.

This is now being exaggerated by the two authors as giving up a substantial part of state sovereignty in favour of the EU. If constitutional law professors like the former CDU defence minister Rupert Scholz repeatedly emphasised, according to classical doctrine, that a state that abandons its borders gives up a piece of its statehood altogether, "*the signatory states to the Schengen Agreement have long since transferred a substantial part of what had hitherto constituted their sovereignty to the European level*". With the abolition of controlled internal borders, the states in the centre of Europe would not have disintegrated, but "*the visible and exclusive link between national territory and state authority would have*". The founding generation of the European integration movement had once wanted it that way, it was about "*leaving behind the traditional nation states and their conflicts, which had repeatedly inflamed bloody at their borders, in a united Europe*" (Note 1, pp. 42, 41).

It is wishful thinking, but not reality, that the Member States have abandoned their authority over borders. They have abolished border controls within the EU, but the borders still exist and can be closed again at any time, including Article 23

of the Schengen Convention *"in the event of a serious threat to public policy or internal security*

The two authors now claim that, because of the Schengen Agreement, *"the borders in the middle of Europe ... were open to everyone". "No one had to open a border for anyone after March 26, 1995."* (S. 43)

From a legal point of view, the borders have been open only to EU citizens and are not open to such people from

third countries which have been granted an appropriate visa. For all the others who have been illegally transported in droves

EU external borders had been invaded, they would have had to be physically closed.

The authors ignore the fact that the Schengen Agreement only applies to EU citizens and was only concluded under the condition of secure and controlled external EU borders. EU-Info.Deutschland" on the Schengen Agreement states: *"Strict controls on persons at the external borders are, in the logic of the Schengen agreements, an important counterweight to the abolition of controls at the internal borders"*.³

This means that internal borders must, of course, be controlled again if external border controls fail, because then people illegally enter the EU states to which the Schengen Agreement does not apply. And so also the former Federal Constitutional Court judge Prof. Udo Di Fabio states:

"The Basic Law presupposes the controllability of the state borders and the control of the persons on the state territory. ... For constitutional reasons, the federal government is obliged, in the sense of the democratic materiality case law according to the Lisbon ruling of the Federal Constitutional Court, to resume effective controls of the federal borders if the common European border security and immigration system is temporarily or permanently disrupted".⁴

And the expert in constitutional and European law Ulrich Vosgerau states that *"from the outset, freedom of movement within the Schengen area has only applied to citizens of EU states and other persons holding a Schengen visa. Anyone who, as a third-country national subject to the visa requirement, is not in possession of a valid travel document and a Schengen visa may, in accordance with Article 14 in conjunction with Article 6 of Regulation (EU) 2016/399 of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a Community Code on the rules governing the movement of persons across borders (Schengen Borders Code) does not cross internal EU borders and must therefore be rejected at an internal border, regardless of whether or where he intends to apply for asylum (...). The ban on crossing internal EU borders for persons without a Schengen visa already existed before, regardless of the German or European asylum regime."*⁵

The German government would therefore have had to close and control the borders under German law in the years before 2015, when already more than one hundred thousand refugees and migrants flowed illegally into Germany every year (in 2014 there were 238,676)⁶; the Schengen Agreement does not actually stand in the way of this.

Even after that, it is already a breach of law to let millions of mostly economic migrants flow into the country uncontrolled.

The Dublin III Regulation

The German asylum law according to Article 16a of the Basic Law and § 18 of the Asylum Act does not contradict the Dublin III Regulation, which stipulates that the EU first-entry state is responsible for the asylum procedure. *According to both national and EU law, whenever asylum seekers wish to enter the Federal Republic of Germany - since it is surrounded by a large number of safe EU or third countries - there are grounds for the responsibility of another state according to § 18 (2) No. 2 AsylG, so that asylum seekers must be rejected at the border in full compliance with the Dublin III Regulation.*

Now the authors argue that the Dublin III Regulation with the so-called right of self-entry according to Art. 17 explicitly grants the member states the possibility to conduct asylum procedures themselves, for which the countries of arrival are responsible per se. Spokespersons of the Ministry of the Interior and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs had already referred to this in August 2015 (p. 59). And the European Court of Justice, in its ruling of 26 July 2017, had indeed ruled that the mass influx in 2015 did not force an exception to the general jurisdiction of the first country of entry, whether humanitarian or border defence; if a state allowed the refugees into the country, this would not make entry legal. But *"European law provides various pressure valves ... - among other things, it ensures that other member states 'in a spirit of solidarity' declare their own commitment and relieve the states on the Balkan route, which are actually responsible but are hopelessly overstretched, of part of their burden. In other words, exactly what the German government had done in the summer of 2015"* (p. 146).

On the other hand, Dr. Vosgerau points out *"that the Federal Republic of Germany, through its constitutional decision on the basis of Article 16a para. 2 of the Basic Law, has already excluded the possible exercise of the right of self-entry under Union law.*

constitutionally excluded. Voluntary acceptance of foreign asylum procedures may not be permitted under Union law.

This may be permitted under certain circumstances, but is not constitutionally permissible in Germany. ... Irrespective of this, however, the right of self-regulation under Union law always refers only to exceptional cases.

individual cases in which the identity and the life and flight history of an asylum seeker have been clarified beyond doubt. ... But under no circumstances is there a 'general right of self-entry'; the executive can already

for reasons of the rule of law, never a right of self-empowerment in favour of those already numerically unknown,

*unidentified and often, due to lack of travel documents, unidentifiable crowds of un-clearer origin."*⁷

It is also incorrect that the ECJ, in its decision of 26 July 2017, justified the opening of the border by the Federal Government by referring to the right of self-entry of the Dublin III Regulation. *"Rather, this decision states that the European asylum system has always been in force during the asylum crisis and must be observed. The decision mainly clarifies that asylum seekers who are admitted by an EU Member State A and immediately transported to the border of Member State B still enter this Member State B "illegally", even if the latter tolerates it, which already clearly refutes the Federal Government's thesis that the opening of the border was in accordance with "law and order". Only in the context of an 'obiter dictum'⁸ and in a single paragraph (para. 100) does the ECJ also mention the right of self-defence in individual cases in the context of some general considerations on possible breakthroughs in the Union's asylum jurisdiction. In this context, however, there can be no general justification for the Federal Government's policy"*⁹.

Germany's examination requirements?

If one cannot avoid the general responsibility of the EU country of arrival for the asylum procedure, Art. 3 of the Dublin III-VO offers the advocates of borderless mass immigration the possibility to at least construct the obligation for Germany to let the asylum seekers - and all of them are looking for entry with the magic word "asylum" - into the country in order to check which state is responsible for the execution of the asylum procedure and to transfer the applicants to this state.

The authors cite the first sentence of Article 3(1), which reads

"Member States shall examine every application for international protection lodged by a third-country national or a stateless person on the territory of a Member State, including at the border or in transit zones" (p. 136) and conclude: "When a refugee arrives at the German-Austrian border and asks German border guards for protection, they must first examine who is responsible for it (Article 20(1) Dublin Regulation). Is it Germany? If not, who? Has he already applied for asylum elsewhere? Where does he have family? Where did he enter the EU? Until this is clarified, they cannot send the refugee away, § 18 of the Asylum Act or not (which prescribes a rejection without examination, hl)". Now, however, the clean journalistic lawyers have simply omitted the following second sentence of paragraph 1 of Article 3 of the Dublin Regulation, which determines which Member States are meant for the examination of applications: *"The application shall be examined by a single Member State, which shall be determined as the competent State in accordance with the criteria laid down in Chapter III"*.

Article 3(1) of the Dublin Regulation thus concerns the Member States responsible for the asylum procedure: as a rule, the border states where the asylum seeker first enters EU territory. They must examine the application made by an asylum seeker on their territory *"including at the border (which is the external border of the EU, hl.) or in transit zones"*.

It is inadmissible to apply Art. 3 (1) simply to internal EU states such as Germany; this contradicts the clear wording.

Dr. Vosgerau explains this on the basis of the following considerations:

"The word 'frontier' in the provision refers to the border of the Union, but not to the internal borders of the Member States. This follows already from the objective in Art. 78 (1) TFEU, 'the Union shall develop a common policy on asylum...', and from the second recital of the Dublin III Directive, according to which 'the Union' shall in turn be open to all who legitimately seek protection - i.e. 'the Union', but not each of its Member States at its own discretion and at any internal border! The possible crossing of internal borders by asylum seekers is not regulated in Article 3 but in Article 20 of the Dublin III Regulation. The first paragraph of that article states...: The procedure for determining the Member State responsible is initiated as soon as an application for international protection is first lodged in a Member State', but paragraph 4 makes it clear

Where an applicant submits an application for international protection to the competent authorities of a Member State while he/she is in the territory of another Member State, the determination of the Member State responsible shall be made by the Member State in whose territory the applicant is present.

- If it is objected here that paragraph 4 is intended for regular applications at embassies and consulates, this must apply all the more to illegal immigrants who want to cross the borders into the next country. –

This means that anyone who is in a safe third country and applies for asylum at the German border does not have to be admitted to Germany for the examination of the correctly responsible first-entry state, but has to be turned away at the border, and the correctly responsible first-entry state has to be determined in the safe third country in which he is already located.

Common sense already speaks for the correctness of this interpretation: if, on the other hand, there were and is an obligation to enter the country in order to check the responsibility at every internal border of the EU, then the entire EU asylum responsibility regime as well as the Schengen regime would indeed be turned upside down, as it would then be assumed that all asylum seekers would have general freedom of movement throughout Europe and that the first competent state would be freely chosen. However, under current law, neither of these two things is supposed to exist.

Furthermore, for popular first-entry countries such as Greece, Italy or Hungary, it would indeed make sense from a political point of view not to register asylum seekers in the first place, but to wave them through to Germany and to treat them so badly that, despite the fact that legal responsibility actually exists, it is no longer possible to return asylum seekers to the first-entry countries in question, because Germany would then, in case of doubt, always have a substitute responsibility with regard to asylum seekers who were allowed to enter but could not be returned. However, this does not correspond to the telos (destination) of the Dublin III Regulation.

In addition, after the 3-month period within which the authorities of the country to which the application is made must be involved, Germany will then formally become responsible itself, which cannot usually be prevented due to the masses and is an ongoing reality.

However, to achieve this with windy, impure and sophisticated interpretations of EU law is the obvious intention of the authors and their fellow-members.

If all EU states from the external border to the borders of Germany misapplied the Dublin III Regulation and fell like dominoes: The federal government would remain in place, stand by its obligations under EU law and absorb the flow of refugees.

Border controls with ban on checks

The authors are certainly critical of the Federal Government, but for the fact that it has not openly and clearly expressed its legal opinion in conformity with European law, but has made contradictory statements, thus opening the door to speculation by the "sorcerer's apprentices". In the first two weeks of September 2015, at the peak of the refugee wave, Interior Minister de Maizière had become aware, after consultations with the state interior ministers, legal experts in the house, as well as Merkel and Altmaier, that a temporary reintroduction of border controls was necessary due to serious threats to security or public order under the Schengen exemption. (Irrespective of this, it has long been mandatory due to the open external borders of the EU, see above). But de Maizi-ère also knew that "a rejection of protection seekers at the border" was "contrary to the concept of the Dublin Treaty" (p. 76). "Only people who didn't apply for asylum in Germany could be turned away at the border." (pg. 78) (Of course nobody who wants to go to Germany was and is that stupid that he does not use this magic word. hl.) "Merkel and de Maizière must have been in agreement: Under these circumstances, there could be no violent sealing of the borders and no legal refusals in the context of the planned controls.

This has provoked fierce protests from security experts such as the President of the Federal Police, Dieter Romann, and police experts in the CDU/CSU have also criticised what border controls should achieve if they do not also allow decisions to be made on who may enter the country and who may not (p. 83). How true!

Now it is not true that de Maizière was of the opinion that a refusal at the border would be in contradiction to the EU Dublin Regulation. Indeed, the decree of 13 September 2015, by which it ordered the temporary suspension of the Schengen Agreement and the reintroduction of border controls, states

"The aim of this measure is to limit the current influx into Germany and to return to an orderly procedure on entry. (...) Under current European law, Germany is not responsible for the vast majority of those seeking protection. (emphasis hl.) The Dublin procedure and the Eurodac regulations continue to apply unchanged and I demand that all European member states comply with them. This means that the Member State responsible not only registers asylum seekers but also conducts the asylum procedure"¹¹.

Under this decree, which is still in force today, national rules requiring the return of asylum seekers from safe third countries would have to be fully applied. But this happens

not because de Maizière, in a simultaneous secret decree of the Federal Police, prohibited the application of German asylum regulations. The two authors make fun of the alleged existence of such a "secret decree" for pages. This could only be based on Article 18 (4) of the Asylum Act, according to which "refusal of entry or refusal in the case of entry from a safe third country" is to be "refrained from" if "the Federal Ministry of the Interior has ordered it for reasons of international law or on humanitarian grounds or to protect the political interests of the Federal Republic of Germany". Only such a "secret decree" did not exist. No one had ever seen him. He was a legend again.

But he exists. At the request of the writer Dr. Frank Haubold, the Federal Ministry of the Interior informed him in writing:

"Minister Dr. de Maizière decided on 13 September 2015 and informed the President of the Federal Police Headquarters orally that measures of refoulement at the border with regard to third-country nationals seeking protection are currently not applicable. There is no written order from the BMI. The decision was taken within the framework of existing responsibilities within the Federal Government. The previous procedure will continue to be applied and is not limited in time. Look here.

Such a non-written, non-published order, as known only from dictatorships, is of course contrary to the rule of law and absolutely inadmissible. In terms of content, it would also be considered "only with regard to justified individual cases in which the identity and the previous life and flight fate of the beneficiary are beyond doubt. ... A mass executive dispensation by law against completely unknown (and due to the lack of passports never clearly identifiable) persons, on the other hand, would not be considered from the outset for constitutional reasons (primacy of the law)."¹²

de Maizière could only issue this order secretly and orally, since it would have been a backdoor countermeasure to the border control decree of the same day, which was published in large part to reassure the population, thus rendering it ineffective and a farce. What would have prevented the implementation of the legally impeccable and necessary decree to reintroduce border controls was to be achieved by the secret order to the Federal Police: the unrestricted influx of often unidentified, non-cultural masses of people, which continues to this day. This is and remains a daily breach of law.

The above investigations have already revealed several serious breaches of the law by the Federal Government, which, from a legal point of view, justify the need to speak of a reign of injustice under which the native population suffers in many respects and which fundamentally changes Germany in a negative way.

A second, concluding part of this consideration will follow.

1 Stephan Detjen, chief correspondent of Deutschlandfunk, and Maximilian Steinbeis, operator of "verfassungsblog.de", in which "constitutional issues are also negotiated in the context of European integration". (Wikipedia): "The sorcerer's apprentices", Stuttgart 2019

2 op. cit., p. 13

3 <http://www.eu-info.de/europa/schengener-abkommen/>

4 expert opinions Prof. Di Fabio, p. 117, 118

5 AfD class action lawsuit 12.4.2018, pp. 22-23

6 en.statista.com

7 Note 5, p. 75

8 "An obiter dictum (Latin for "incidentally said") is a legal opinion expressed in a decision of a court which does not support the decision made, but was only expressed because the opportunity arose. (Wikipedia)

9 Note 5, p. 73

10 Note 5, p. 79-80

11 bmi.bund.com

12 Vosgerau Note 5, p. 72

Source: <https://fassadenkratzer.wordpress.com/2019/09/11/rechtsbruch-der-offenen-grenzen-ein-mythos-die-methoden-juristischer-zuarbeiter-der-macht/>

Japanese environment minister wants to use radioactive Pipe water from Fukushima to the Pacific

12.09.2019 - 08:09. <https://de.rt.com/1z5m>

Japanese Environment Minister Yoshiaki Harada has announced plans to drain the water from the damaged Fukushima nuclear power plant directly into the Pacific Ocean. The local fishermen's cooperative protests - but the statement also has international repercussions.

The minister's remarks were "ill-considered in view of his position," said Tetsu Nozaki, head of the Fukushima fisheries office. "I want calm discussions to take place," he added, noting that a relevant government committee continues to discuss how to dispose of the water.

Nozaki has consistently opposed the release of water from the nuclear power plant. Since the plant was damaged by an earthquake and tsunami in 2011, Tepco has collected more than one million tons of contaminated water from the cooling pipes used to prevent core meltdown.

The water is currently stored in tanks on the power plant site, but the utility company states that no more space will be available until 2022. Minister Harada said at a press conference

The whole government will discuss it, but I would like to express my simple opinion. The only possibility will be to dump it into the sea and dilute it.

Harada did not announce how much water would be discharged into the sea. A final decision of the government on the disposal of the polluted water is still pending until an expert opinion is available. Representatives of Tepco were not immediately available for comments.

According to Takayuki Yanai, another representative of the Fukushima Fishing Cooperative, the market price for fish from the region has gradually fallen back to the level before the nuclear disaster of 2011. He therefore warned against rash measures. If the water from the Fukushima reactor were to enter the sea, the "safety measures we have taken and our sales promotion efforts would be immediately destroyed and our business destroyed.

The Japanese National Federation of Fishing Cooperatives also called on the Minister to withdraw the comments in question:

The impact of these statements is immeasurable, which is worrying the local fishing industry and spreading harmful rumours.

Discharging the contaminated water into the sea could also annoy South Korea's immediate neighbor. The government in Seoul already asked a high-ranking official of the Japanese embassy last month to explain how the country intends to deal with the liquid. It was only at the beginning of this month that Japanese government officials were urged to promise, at a meeting with embassy staff in Tokyo, to continue to review options for dealing with the contaminated water from the Fukushima plant.

Source: <https://deutsch.rt.com/asien/92218-japanischer-umweltminister-will-radioaktives-wasser/>

Opinion

Europe's full-blown Stockholm syndrome in the face of US bullying

September 12, 2019 - 6:45 a.m. <https://de.rt.com/1z50>

The psychological state known as Stockholm syndrome, in which hostages irrationally sympathise with the hostage-takers, could well be applied as a diagnosis to European leaders when it comes to bullying by the USA. *From Finian Cunningham. Original in English: Europe's full-blown Stockholm Syndrome in face of US bullying* Cunningham is an award-winning journalist with many works on international affairs.

The US has always been the dominant - and actively dominant - party in the transatlantic Relationships. But previous governments in Washington at least made sure that the European countries as "partners" in an apparently mutual alliance.



Europe's full-blown Stockholm syndrome in the face of US bullying

Since President Donald Trump took office, Europeans have been bluntly pushed around and intimidated in a way that demonstrates their true status as mere Washington vassals. Want an example? Take the Nord Stream 2 project, a 1220-kilometer underwater pipeline that will significantly increase gas supplies to Europe, and is scheduled for completion by the end of the year. The new supply route is intended to benefit the economy of the European Union, and Germany in particular, because it will make cheaper energy sources available to businesses and homes. But last week, US Senator Ted Cruz threatened that his country is "in a position to stop the entire project". Cruz is a member of the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations. A draft law was passed there in July, according to which sanctions will be imposed on companies involved in the construction of the pipeline. Germany, Austria, France and Great Britain have a share in the construction consortium, as does Russia's Gazprom.

Ironically, the US Senate bill is entitled "Protecting Europe's energy security". It is a strange form of "protection" if sanctions threatened by the USA are to deprive European companies and consumers of affordable natural gas. Cruz (as well as US President Trump) accused Russia of having tried to increase its economic influence on Europe. Closer to the truth - but also much more cynical - would be the statement that Washington wants Europe to buy its more expensive liquefied natural gas. Texas, where the largest natural gas reserves in the USA are located, happens to be the home state of Cruz. Perhaps his bill should be renamed - for example, "Protect US energy exports".

Linked to this is the comprehensive imposition of sanctions by Washington and Europe against Russia since 2014, with several occasions cited for the punitive measures against Moscow, including the alleged destabilization of Ukraine and the so-called "annexation" of Crimea, the alleged interference in elections and the dubious Scripal poisoning affair. The sanctions policy was largely introduced by Washington - and Europe dutifully followed at every turn.

Last week the EU ambassadors voted in favour of extending the sanctions for another six months - even though they were much more damaging to the European economy than the US economy, and even though representatives of many German companies in particular spoke out against the senseless economic hostilities against Moscow.

The absence of any counter-pressure from Europe on such blatant US interference in Europe's supposed sovereignty and independence in matters of vital interest simply makes you freeze in astonishment.

Another glaring example is the way the Trump government insists that European countries abandon major investment and cooperation plans with the Chinese telecommunications company Huawei to modernize mobile and Internet infrastructure. Washington has threatened retaliatory sanctions if Europe continues its partnership with Huawei. The USA has also warned that it could withhold "exchange of information" on security and terrorist risks from its European "allies". Is this how a "friend" acts?

Here, too, one sees the same pattern of the lamentable approval of European leaders - instead of a firm admonition to the USA to take care of its own affairs.

The international JCPoA (Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action) nuclear agreement with Iran is another crowning demonstration of the relations in which Washington abuses Europe. This week, the Trump government severely dampened the French enthusiasm for negotiations. In response to a proposal from Paris to grant Tehran a loan of 15 billion US dollars until the end of 2019 if it returns to comply with the action plan, a US government spokesman replied very clearly: "We have not yet heard a concrete proposal that would be compatible with our and other sanctions [against Iran]," Reuters quoted.

The step proposed by France should reduce the economic pressure on Iran and save the dwindling nuclear agreement with the Middle East state.

The USA declared that they "will sanction anyone who buys Iranian crude oil exports". There would be no letters of remission or exemptions from US sanctions. This tells the European Union quite clearly that they should forget the already hesitant efforts to save the nuclear agreement with Iran, which they signed together with Russia and China.

So because Trump has now broken out of the Iranian nuclear agreement, this means that the Europeans, in his domineering world view, must do the same. Obviously the EU does not have the freedom to act independently of the American dictates. The destruction of relations between Europe and Iran endangers economic interests and raises security concerns in the region.

connection with possible conflicts and the non-proliferation of nuclear weapons in the region. Are European concerns so irrelevant to Washington?

Unpunished insult to common sense

And now, you can be sure of an example of double-thinking that goes beyond the scope of this article: Last week, US Secretary of Defense Mark Esper gave lectures to the European "friends" of the USA about how to be more vigilant in fending off alleged Russian and Chinese hostilities.

The speech given at the *Royal United Services Institute* think tank in London was booked as a showcase speech, Esper's first major speech since his appointment as Pentagon chief in July.

"It is becoming increasingly clear that Russia and China want to disrupt the international order, for which they are seeking a right of veto over the economic, diplomatic and security policy decisions of other nations," Esper said.

"Simply put, Russian foreign policy continues to disregard international norms," the former lobbyist for the US arms company Raytheon and other US arms manufacturers added without a hint of embarrassment.

And what is Europe's response? Did the European heads of state and media burst into uncontrolled laughter at such a manifest demonstration of absurdity, hypocrisy and guilt circulation? Were there any official statements or editorials in a highly audible tone that told the US arms industry representative that his outpourings were an insult to common sense?

Europe's tolerance of abuse by its US "partners" is really very reminiscent of the Stockholm syndrome. Sure, European leaders like Merkel or Macron sometimes cry in their pillows about the need to be more independent from Washington, but when it comes to action, they all display a despicable toadyism to US policy. Even if it measurably harms their own national interests.

From Stockholm syndrome to Pavlov's reflex

When Trump recommended Russia's reintegration into the Group of Seven Economic Powers at the G7 summit in Biarritz, France, last month, the rest of the group reacted with horror and called for the exclusion of Moscow. How twisted is that? The wretched European leaders want to stay in a club with their biggest tormentor - Washington - while excluding their neighboring country and an important potential strategic partner. How much irrationality can one even tolerate?

Psychologists explain the Stockholm syndrome as a "trauma coping mechanism". It is frequently observed among hostages, prisoners of war, survivors of concentration camps, slaves and prostitutes, among others. Irrational sympathizing with a party that really gets you in trouble and hurts you is one way to minimize the trauma by taking on the values of the tormentor for appearances.

Apparently the syndrome can be treated successfully. Victims must be gradually introduced to the objective truth of their situation. Europe too must awaken from the delusions about its US "ally".

Source: <https://deutsch.rt.com/meinung/92196-europas-ausgewachsenes-stockholm-syndrom-angesichts-us-mobbing/>

Saudi Arabia and a world once again on the brink of the abyss

Whether the attack could have taken place as claimed cannot be overlooked against the background of explanations. The possible consequences are also comprehensive.

However, one circumstance is completely new, and one can only understand this if one is familiar with the circumstances to which I referred in my book "**Deutschland im Umbruch**" ("**Germany in Transition**") and listed them as the motives which are said to have led to the nomination of the candidate Trump. These were three elements that are still hotly debated in the United States today: the possible collapse of the infrastructure, the outcry in small and medium-sized American cities over the endless series of zinc coffins, and the explosion in the number of anti-Semitic incidents. These three elements remain unchanged and President Trump is very much aware of these circumstances in the light of his statements and actions.

It can be assumed that his constant emphasis on his commitment to all his election pledges is due to the aforementioned circumstances. This is a kind of "self-protection" in the face of an almost desperate situation.



By *Willy Wimmer* 14:33 18.09.2019

Whoever brought this about in Saudi Arabia will have had this dimension before his eyes. This means no more and no less than seeing the fate of the United States on the knife edge if President Trump's thoroughly prudent approach is not maintained by him or other American forces.


Even at a time when governments around the world have stopped providing explanations to their citizens and are evading this obligation through "mental battle groups" that use the term "conspiracy theories" to keep citizens down, one thing or another is being done. This includes the intensive travel activities of the Israeli Prime Minister Netanyahu, who is still in office. It is not only the permanent visits to the Russian president that stand out. Thus, Prime Minister Netanyahu flew to British Prime Minister Boris Johnson a few days ago and in the middle of the final spurt of the all-decisive election campaign, for a meeting lasting 45 minutes. This is not only the admission that no communication connection can be called secure anymore. It's like the old days when news was passed "by officer, hand to hand". You don't fly to London for 45 minutes to drink tea.

In Berlin, people immediately begin to babble about an impending war. At the last second, one should think about what it means for peace in the world when the Chancellor - visible to all the world - takes sides with the Washington war coalition of Clinton, Obama and Graham against President Trump. And what this behavior means for the Putin, Netanyahu, Trump squad, who have so far been able to prove it to be able to work together. Who actually prevents these gentlemen from implementing their declared will? Dr Merkel and Mr Macron should ask themselves that as soon as possible.

Source: <https://de.sputniknews.com/kommentare/20190918325745203-saudi-arabien-wimmer-kommentar/>

Operation Libero organises Pro-EU Framework Agreement Front

Home /EU-No-Newsletter, News/Operation Libero organises Pro-EU Framework Agreement Front



EU-No-Newsletter, News 19 September 2019

The "Operation Libero" (OP), which appeared on a national level in 2016 as a "spontaneous" student movement, pretending to stand up for human rights and open borders, has dropped its mask. If you go to their website today, you will find their central concern: "Call for a framework agreement". To this end, OP has formed a front of 41 politicians (wandelwahl.ch) who have committed themselves to support the Framework Agreement. For their commitment, they receive campaign support of CHF 1.5 million from OP (tagesanzeiger.ch). "After repeated follow-ups, former National Councillor Tim Guldemann (SP), who is behind the campaign with Operation Libero, confirms the amount (woz.ch)." Included in this pro-EU framework agreement front are 41 National Council candidates from various parties, apart from the SVP: "Seven each from the SP and the Greens, six from the GLP, nine FDP politicians, eight CVP members and four from the BDP (tagesanzeiger.ch). How does a "spontaneous" student movement manage to be professionally organized within a very short time and operate with budgets in the millions? And then to be treated from the very beginning by state television like a big national party with regular invitations to the arena?

Now that the mask of this grouping, which likes to present itself as a representative of "civil society", has fallen, it becomes clear that it is a pro-EU political marketing agency. Then it will also be understandable where their financial resources come from. OP's claim that it is only financed by "small" donations (crowdfunding) is contradicted by its own published figures. Donations for the National Council elections are estimated at CHF 98'490.30 (operation-libero.ch). However, the candidates were promised CHF 1.5 million. So I guess a "good uncle" has to finance the missing amount. There has been much speculation about the identity of the "good uncle". It is clear, however, that it is likely to be an EU-friendly "uncle", or - even more likely - various EU-friendly "uncles". Names that circulate:

"The windy colleague Heinz Karrer from Economiesuisse is likely to be in charge of the financing. The FDP is working in the background. ([insid parade ground.ch](http://insidparadeground.ch))

"Hansjörg Wyss pulls the strings in the background. He has given the initiative the important financial impetus and is likely to support the voting campaign. Wyss told BLICK from the distant USA: "Switzerland belongs to the EU. [LOOK. CH]

"[...] she (Flavia Kleiner) was said to be involved with the Hungarian-American billionaire George Soros. This is because Kleiner is an active member of the European Council on Foreign Relations (ECFR), which Soros supports with its Open Society Foundations as a member and main sponsor with over 2.5 million euros to date. [weltwoche.ch]

The OP claims that it is not financed by Economiesuisse, Wyss or Soros

"Honni-soit-qui-mal-y-pense."

Finally, a fitting commentary on this published at "[insideparadeplatz](http://insideparadeplatz.ch)":

"Oh, how beautiful, how flawlessly perfect but this Operation Libero is and how unique for Switzerland". [insideparadeplatz.ch]

Yes, it is unique for Switzerland. However, it is only a copy of the political marketing format already successfully launched in Europe. Just as successful programmes (Germany is looking for the superstar, Germany's top model) are designed once and then copied and broadcast throughout Europe for the respective country, so Operation Libero "Swiss Edition" was copied, equipped with pretty girls and boys (selected according to a strictly defined catalogue of requirements, leaving nothing to chance), branded (by Brand, English brand) and launched. In France, the For-mat was successfully created for the first time. Also out of nowhere the "Republic on the move" conquered the presidency. All young, beautiful people and a grandmother (Brigitte)...

The marketing format was then refined in Spain. "C" (Spanish for ciudadanos = citizens). Now considered the third largest party in the country. The same in green (in this case orange). A smart, beautiful boy as party leader, top-model, intelligent young women as party leaders: same format, same communication, same content, same fuss as in this country...

In Spain, it was the big banks and the employers' association that started the "movement" with ten-digit sums. In France also big banks, which put in the big money. In both Spain and France, one bank acted as a collection point for the "donations" of the other banks (Banco Sabadell in Spain and Banque Edmond de Roth-schild in France).

Operation Libero, "Swiss Edition", follows Switzerland's entry into the EU as well as all the concerns of a "globalised" Switzerland. Meeting with ex-President Obama, statements in the press that one of these two Madis (co-presidents of OP) is one of the "most influential personalities of Euro-pas" (such a joke) - here the world's globalisation elite is serving up a big dish. The bastion of Switzerland must finally fall. The people seem to be falling for it for the time being." [insideparade-platform.ch]

Author: Dr. Pedro Reiser, source: <https://eu-no.ch/operation-libero-organisiert-pro-eu-rahmenabkommen-front/>

This feeble-minded, brainless woman who wanted to betray her home country to the EU dictatorship

Student movement <Operation Libero>, whose pathologically stupid members are inaccessible to reason and reason and have no idea what peace, freedom and neutrality effectively mean, was already called treasonous in an early prediction in 1949.

See extracts from:

The future of the earth looks bad, because mankind will do all evil and question its own survival ...

13 and 14 May 1949, Eduard Meier, Niederflachs 1253, Bülach, Canton Zurich

Move out:

Overall, already today and in the coming times, and especially from the beginning of the third millennium, the whole world situation is becoming more and more acute in a degree of misconduct and misgovernment due to the increasing incapacity of those in power to govern. Just the emergence of a European dictatorship in 44 years' time, which will deceive the European states by malice and make them join it, will lead to much displeasure and disaster in their peoples.

Only too late and many years later will they realize that they will have stupidly and thoughtlessly joined the dictatorship, which is why they will then fight against the dictatorship and make efforts to regain their autonomy and independence. However, in their own countries the stupid will try to prevent this as much as the dictatorship leaders. Only Switzerland will remain free of this, but in the course of time, even those fools who are inaccessible to reason and politically unaccountable in this respect will make great efforts to join the European dictatorship, which is becoming more and more popular among the people. In this way, political parties that are treasonous to the country will come to the fore, as well as groups and movements **such as a treasonous Studenten movement, which is in 67**

It is only under a name like <Operation Libero> (note FIGU: <Operation Libero>, founded on 13.10.2014 in Zurich) that they will be celebrated as loving their homeland, but then they will reveal their true nature and in this respect they will stand up for joining a dictatorship.

How the Russians are totally disappointed in the West

Sunday, 22 September 2019 , by Freeman at 12:05

When I came to Russia for the first time in 2009, I was a little surprised at the positive attitude the Russians had towards America and Europe. People I met gave me the impression that they admired the West and thought everything was super good there. Especially towards Germany and the Germans they were very good to talk to. My attempt to explain to them that not all that glitters is gold, that the West is not a paradise, that there is much bad there, they did not want to hear. Their image of the West was strongly influenced by Hollywood films and Western propaganda in general.



The American dream: illusion or reality?

I visited the cities of Moscow and Saint Petersburg and was pleasantly surprised at what I saw. The size of these metropolises was impressive for me. With around 15.1 million inhabitants, Moscow is the largest agglomeration in Europe. The buildings, parks, churches (300 of them), Thea-ter (over 100), museums and galleries, restaurants, shopping centres, high-rise buildings with the 540-metre-high Ostankino Tower, the tallest building in Europe, eight long-distance railway stations, three international airports and three inland ports, Moscow offers unlimited possibilities.

In Moscow, I crossed streets in the city that had 10 lanes... on one side, so 20 tracks total. I had never seen such wide roads before in the whole world. The promenade on the banks of the Moskva, the river that flows through Moscow, is beautiful and so is the huge Gorky Park that lies next to it. You can take a boat trip on the water or take a city tour with the double-decker buses, equipped with headphones that explain the sights in 8 languages.

For me, however, Saint Petersburg is the most beautiful and fantastic city in Russia, and with five million inhabitants it is the second largest city in Russia after Moscow and the fourth largest in Europe. One immediately notices by the architecture of the buildings that tsars ruled here, namely it was the capital of the Russian Empire from the 18th to the 20th century. In the historical city centre there are 2300 palaces, magnificent buildings and castles, which have been listed as UNESCO world heritage sites since 1991.

I have already seen a lot of the world, lived on all continents, visited all major cities, but what Saint Petersburg represents and offers is overwhelming, even unique. The city's art, theatre, literature, ballet and music have a worldwide reputation. There are 221 museums, 100 theatres and 80 concert halls. In addition, there are 45 galleries and exhibition halls as well as 80 culture houses. Just to see some of it, you need at least a month.

Just for the Hermitage, the most visited and probably internationally most important exhibition complex with an immensely large collection of European fine art up to 1917 and the world's largest collection of jewels, you have to calculate one week. In addition, there are the best hotels, restaurants, shops and shopping centres. The latter are simply gigantic. That's why I told the Russians, I don't understand what they admire so much about the West? You would have everything and more. Most of the cities in Europe - and those in the United States anyway - seem like cow cases to me.

I said to them that they completely underestimated their country and overestimated foreign countries. If they were to travel to Europe and North America, to see what reality is like, they would come back completely disappointed. The only city that comes to mind as comparable might be Paris. But even this cultural metropolis was once, is run down and decayed.



Yes, the photos show Paris and not some third-world slum!



Then something happened. The positive attitude of the Russians towards the West changed from 2010 at the latest, when Russia bashing began in the Western media, and the penetrating negative portrayal of the Russian government and of President Putin began, which was denied by the Russian population. A highlight of this slander campaign of politicians and media against Russia happened in the run-up to the Winter Olympics in Sochi, in February 2014.

What lies were told about this event. The German media made particularly offensive remarks and reported only bad things. For example, they claimed that Putin would personally shoot the stray dogs of the city so that the visitors would not see them. A blatant lie. I was there and saw the dogs alive, ran after me.

The commentary on the opening ceremony on German television was one hate speech after another. Instead of reporting on the art performances and sport, the commentators poured themselves into political mud-slinging. I then confronted a reporter from the ARD in Sochi with it and told him that they were impudent liars and not journalists. I wanted to smash his face in.

On the Olympic grounds in Sochi, the German car manufacturers had their huge exhibition stands and advertised their vehicles "Made in Germany". A Russian said to me about it: "The *Germans want us to buy their cars, but at the same time they shit on our heads*". "That's right," I said to him. "You're only good enough for this. "

The Germans should be very calm after what they did to the Russians in World War II. After all, "only" 26 million Russians were killed. In St. Petersburg (then Lenin-degree) over one million inhabitants were killed with bombs and grenades or died miserably by starvation during the almost three years of total siege by the Wehrmacht.

Who defeated Hitler's war machine and freed Europe from fascism? It was the Soviet Army, with enormous sacrifices... and not the cowardly Yanks who waited for the Eastern Front to collapse. The Western Allies were able to bomb the German cities from the air, but the Russians devastated the Wehrmacht on the ground.

The West has always had Russia in its sights and wants to break and conquer it. It was no coincidence that the USA staged the "uprising" in Kiev on Maidan Square, while Russia was the host of the Olympics. The timing of the coup was specially chosen when Putin and the entire government was distracted.

The legitimate government in Kiev was forcibly overthrown, a servile Washington puppet was installed and Ukraine was incorporated into the Western dominion. As a reaction to the anti-Russian pogroms in Ukraine, the inhabitants of the Crimea, with more than 90%, decided in a referendum to join the Russian Federation.

Then the hate campaign against Russia in the Western media really got going. Russia had annexed the Crimea, Russian tanks and soldiers had invaded Ukraine. All lies and misinformation. Washington under Obama then imposed sanctions against Russia as "punishment", which the Europeans and especially the obedient Germans also implemented.

The summit of false accusations was then Russia-Gate, the claim that Moscow or Putin had manipulated the 2016 presidential elections to the disadvantage of Hillary Clinton. The sanctions were even more severe. For the Western media it is no contradiction that Trump is even tougher and more aggressive than Obama.

99% of the US media brought up only one topic, namely that Russia was the bad guy and had brought Trump to the White House with "hacking". No matter which station you turned on, it would only say "Russia, Russia, Russia, Russia..." would be to blame for everything, could even turn off the electricity and the whole of America would freeze and sit in the dark.

At the same time, over the years more and more soldiers and war material were moved directly to the border with Russia, and NATO expanded eastwards. The Russian people changed their opinion about the West, realized more and more who the real aggressor and villain in the world is. Quietly and with their own means they have built up a strong army with the most modern weapons for defence.

The so-called Western "community of values" is in reality a "crime community". It has no human values, but only greed for profit, conquest, exploitation, wars and destruction in mind. Plus any kind of perversion that is considered normal. But Russia still represents real values, such as modesty, tradition, family, children, community spirit, closeness to nature, etc.

The paradox is (or not) that the sanctions have strengthened Russia, boosted the country's economy and Moscow has decided to make itself as independent of the West as possible. The Russians are doing well, the most fantastic infrastructure projects are being implemented at the highest speed. The almost 20 km long bridge over the sea to the Crimea was completed in only two years.

The Russians have changed from an unconditional worship of the West to a very sceptical, almost negative attitude. They have realized that the enemy is in the West and does not want anything good for Russia. Completely new friendships and alliances have been formed with China, Iran, India, Syria, Turkey and the countries of South America.

Russia has systematically reduced its foreign loans and is now practically debt-free. Gold was bought in large quantities and dollar holdings were reduced. The ruble is largely covered with gold. On the other hand, the Russians are becoming increasingly aware of how Europe and America are constantly breaking down economically, culturally and morally.

Many Russian-Germans who emigrated to Germany before and after perestroika want to go back, or have already returned to Russia because they can no longer stand the decline in values and suicide that Western society is committing. They are completely disappointed in the West... even the Russians themselves are.

The Russian media now show them - instead of a paradise as before - how the West really is, how it has fallen into chaos. They see the poverty, the decadence, the glaring difference between the poor, who are becoming more and more, and the rich, the multi-billionaires. That there is no democracy, that it is only a show, and not a free expression of opinion, one that is only pretended.

In recent years, European and American politicians and the media have managed to turn a positive-minded Russian population into a sceptical and even hostile one. How idiotic and counterproductive is that? Russia is stronger and more self-confident than ever and has established close economic and military ties with China.

In general, the world's most resource-rich and populous countries have found each other, and more and more smaller countries are turning away from the arrogance and colonial mentality of the West. The East gains influence and the West loses it. The EU and America are on the downward spiral, have lost all credibility and are standing there like the king without clothes.

The G7 is a useless idle club, has lost all influence. It may even be that Turkey will leave NATO. Erdogan, Rohani and Putin have just met again in Ankara and are forging alliances, determining what happens in the Middle East. The total failure of the US air defense and the fact that the USA cannot defend its ally Saudi Arabia is a huge bla-mage.

The most respected statesman in this world is clearly Waldimir Putin. Trump, Mer-kele, Macron, Johnson etc. are jokes that cannot be taken seriously. The western industrialised countries are heading for a recession, and Germany has already arrived there. The zombie financial system is on the verge of collapse.

The last attempt by the central banks to save the clinically dead patient has been initiated with the negative interest rates and even more money flooding. What the Soviet Union experienced 30 years ago, the complete collapse of state order, the disappearance of the social safety net, the loss of jobs and the worthlessness of currencies, is now approaching the West.

The (((vampires))) of the financial criminals soon managed to suck the last drop of blood from the working population. The "Gilets jaunes" took to the streets in France again en masse on Saturday, and the protests are only just beginning. American society is so deeply divided that a civil war may well take place.

The Russians get all that and are happy to be in a country that has a good future. Of course, not everything is rosy, but Russia is on the right track, has achieved an amazing amount and has very positive prospects due to its breaking away from the West. See what the Russian TV viewers saw a few days ago on the largest channel Россия 1 (Russia 1) about the conditions in Los Angeles:

"Whatever happened to *the American dream*?"

People living in tents by the roadside, rats spreading epidemics, garbage everywhere, human extremes and heaps of syringes from drug addicts.

Diseases that were thought to have been eradicated long ago are on the rise again, like plague, cholera and typhoid fever!

Then gang wars, corruption, crime, collapsing infrastructure and a rich elite living in another world.


As the American satirist George Carlin once said:

"The reason they call it the American Dream is because you have to be asleep to believe it."

Who would have ever thought in the past that it is worth considering moving to the East - to Russia or China - and that one should learn Russian and Chinese. I did it!

Source: <http://alles-schallundrauch.blogspot.com/2019/09/wie-die-russen-vom-westen-vollig.html#ixzz60QIDfiDd>

Spreading the right symbol of peace



The false symbol of peace - the so-called <rune of death>, which is spread worldwide today and which consists of the Celtic Futhark runes or "death runes". The <Death Rune> is the actual epitome of negative influences and creates destructive vibrations in terms of discord, feuds and hatred, revenge, vice, addictions and bondage, because the <Death Rune> means for many people reminiscences of the NEOC era, of death and ruin, but also ambitions in terms of wars, terror, destruction of many human achievements and all necessary foundations of life of any kind and worldwide discord.

It is really urgent that the <death rune> as a false symbol of peace, which creates discord and restlessness, disappears completely from the earthly world and thus the ancient and real Peace symbol is spread all over the earth and made world-famous, whose central elements reflect peace, freedom, harmony, strengthening of the life force, protection, growth and wisdom, have a constructive effect and help very calming and peaceful-positive vibrations to break through, which can effectively convey peace, freedom and harmony! We therefore turn to all reasonable-

to all FIGU interest groups, FIGU study groups and FIGU country groups, and thus to all reasonable and honest people striving for peace, freedom, harmony, justice, knowledge and evolution, to do their best to spread the right peace symbol worldwide and to raise awareness of the dangerous and destructive use of the <Todesrune>, which in memory of the NACI crimes collectively in the sense and costumes of the human character rotting, degeneration and disaster promotes, as this is unfortunately also after the end of the last world war 1939-1945 extremely carried up to the today's time.

Spreading of the Correct Peace Symbol

The wrong peace symbol – the globally widespread "death rune" which has been fabricated from the Celtic Futhark runes or invert-ed Algiz rune – is the actual embodiment/quintessence of negative influences and evokes destructive swinging-waves regarding unpeace and hatred, revenge, vice, addictions and bondage, because for many human beings the "death rune" means reminiscence (memories) of the Nazi era, of death and ruin as well as ambitions concerning war, terror, destruction of human achievements, livelihoods as well as global evil unpeace.

Therefore it is of the utmost necessity that the wrong peace symbol, the "death rune", disappears from the world and that the ur-ancient and correct peace symbol is spread and made known all-over the world, because its central elements reflect peace, free-dom, harmony, strengthening of the life power, protection, growth and wisdom, have a constructive and strongly soothing effect, and help peaceful-positive swinging-waves to break through.

Therefore we appeal to all FIGU members, all FIGU-Interessengruppen, Studien- und Landesgruppen as well to all reasonable hu-man beings, who are honestly striving for peace, freedom, harmony, fairness, knowledge and evolution, to do, and give, their best to spread the correct peace symbol worldwide and to bring forth clarification about the dangerous and destructive use of the "death rune", which in memory of the Nazi crimes collectively furthers deterioration and neglect of character-"ausartung" and terrible-ness in the reflecting and striving of the human being, as this is still being extremely carried on after the end of the last world war 1939– 1945 until the current time.

Car adhesive

Sizes of the adhesives:

120x120 mm	= CHF	3.–
250x250 mm	= CHF	6.–
300X300 mm	= CHF	12.–

Orders against prepayment:

FIGU
Hinderschmidrüti 1225
8495 Schmidrüti
Switzerland

E-mail, WEB, Tel:

info@figu.org
www.figu.org
Phone 052 385 13 10
Fax 052 385 42 89

IMPRINT

FIGU TIME SIGN AND FIGU SPECIAL TIME SIGN

Printing and publishing: FIGU Wassermannzeit-Verlag,
Semjase Silver Star Center, Hinterschmidrüti 1225, 8495 Schmidrüti, Switzerland

FIGU-ZEITZEICHEN appears twice a month; FIGU-Sonder-ZEITZEICHEN appears sporadically It is

also published on the Internet, on the FIGU website: www.figu.org/ch

Editorial office: BEAM 'Billy' Eduard Albert Meier // // **Telephone** +41(0)52 38513 10 (7.00 h - 19.00 h) / **Fax** +41(0)52 385 42 89

Postal cheque account: PC 80-13703-3 FIGU Free Interest Group, 8495 Schmidrüti, Switzerland

IBAN: CH06 0900 0000 8001 3703-3,

For CHF/EURO 10 in an envelope, send


**we offer you 3 pieces of colored peace glue
of the size 120x120 mm = stick on the car.**

E-Letter: info@figu.org
Internet: www.figu.org
FIGU shop: <http://shop.figu.org>



© FIGU 2020

Some rights reserved. This work is,
unless otherwise noted, licensed under :
www.figu.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/ch/



Spiritual Teaching Peace Symbol

Peace

True peace on earth among the world's population can only be achieved when every sensible and reasonable person finally makes the first non-violent step towards it, in order to then take every further step in peace and deliberation until the last consequence of becoming peaceful is achieved.

SSSC, 10 September 2018, 4.43 pm, Billy

Non-commercial use is therefore expressly permitted without further permission from the author.

Published by Wassermannzeit-Verlag: FIGU, 'Freie Interessengemeinschaft

Universell', Semjase-Silver-Star-Center, Hinterschmidrüti 1225, 8495

Schmidrüti ZH, Switzerland